



PARKS & RECREATION MASTER PLAN

Project Advisory Committee Meeting # 3

Date: Tuesday, December 5, 2017

Time: 6:30 - 8:30 pm

Location: Tualatin Police Services Training Room, 8650 SW Tualatin Road

Agenda

6:30-6:35 pm	Welcome and Introductions (Valerie Pratt)
6:35-6:40 pm	Approval of September 12, 2017 Minutes (Valerie Pratt)
6:40-6:45 pm	Public Comment (Rich Mueller)
6:45-6:50 pm	Project Announcements (Rich Mueller) • Existing Parks and Recreation System Brief
6:50-7:35 pm	Discussion: Public Engagement Findings (Cindy Mendoza) • Overview of Activities • Key Cross-Cutting Themes • Selected Findings
7:35-7:40 pm	Break
7:40-8:25 pm	Discussion: Needs Analysis Preliminary Findings (Cindy Mendoza) • 2035 Park and Recreation Services • Parkland and Trail Access • Recreation facilities • Recreation Programming • Public Art
8:25-8:30 pm	Close/Next Steps (Rich Mueller)

Handouts

PAC September 12, 2017 Meeting Minutes

Existing Parks and Recreation System Brief

Public Outreach Summary Report and Appendices

Needs Analysis Preliminary Findings



PARKS & RECREATION MASTER PLAN

Project Advisory Committee Meeting # 2 Minutes

Date: Tuesday, September 12, 2017

Time: 6:30 - 8:30 pm

Location: Tualatin Public Library Community Room, 18878 SW Martinazzi Avenue

Attendance: Graehm Alberty, Diane Bonica, Kay Dix, Andrew Evans, Anthony Gallegos, Candice Kelly, Dana Paulino, Valerie Pratt, Christen Sacco, Maureen Seigny

Councilor: Paul Morrison

Staff: Paul Hennon, Rich Mueller, Sou Souvanny, Tom Steiger, Jerianne Thompson

Consultant: Cindy Mendoza, MIG

Public: Margo Traines

Absent: Councilor Jeff DeHaan, Cyndy Hillier, Robert Knight, Ted Saedi

Welcome and Introductions

At 6:33 pm Rich Mueller welcomed the committee to the second Parks & Recreation Master Plan meeting and initiated introductions around the room.

Public Comment

None

Project Announcements and PAC Business

Chair and Vice-Chair Election

Rich Mueller then proceeded with the election of Committee Chair and Vice Chair. Nominations were opened and Valerie Pratt presented to the group the reasons why she would like to be chair. Following that, Ms. Pratt was elected by consensus of the committee. Following the Chair election, Mr. Mueller then asked for nominations for Vice Chair. Candice Kelly was nominated and elected Vice-Chair by consensus of the committee.

Approval of Meeting Minutes

Dennis Wells made a motion to approve the July 25, 2017 meeting minutes and Dana Paulino seconded the motion. The committee members unanimously approved the July 25th meeting minutes as presented.

Project Announcements – Public Outreach

Rich Mueller gave an update on the public outreach opportunities, with an emphasis on the online survey and the availability of paper English and Spanish surveys at the Library and Juanita Pohl Center.

The park walk date, times and locations were announced to the committee. Committee members with business connections were invited to the business focus group.

The gift certificate name drawing for those taking part is a pop-up activity took place on Friday, July 28th.

Presentation: Existing Systems and Services Overview

Cindy Mendoza, the project manager with the consultant group, MIG, presented the existing parks system, recreation facilities, and programs overview. The presentation can be found on the project webpage at tualatinoregon.gov/recreation.

Parks and Open Space

There are five general land classifications used in the City of Tualatin, parks, greenways, natural areas, school joint-use facilities and trails. Overall, the city has a total of 307.7 acres of parks, greenways and natural parks and areas.

Recreation Facilities

The City offers various recreation amenities and facilities, including amenities that support park use such as restrooms, bike racks, community centers, sports fields, trails and wildlife viewing areas. During the project's first phase, these were inventoried and the conditions were assessed.

Programs and Events

MIG presented an overview of the programs and events that Tualatin offers. This included the following program areas: arts and culture, before and after school youth programs, day camps, development and leadership, enrichment and learning, health, wellness and fitness, nature-based programming, social activities, special events, sports and volunteerism.

Park Maintenance, Condition and Access

Ms. Mendoza gave an overview of the responsibilities of the Parks Maintenance Division, which includes but is not limited to, maintaining the more than 300 acres of land in parks, greenways and natural areas and managing the vegetation of 62 water quality facilities. The committee was presented with preliminary findings from the Park and Facility Condition Assessment, the park tour, and the ADA analysis.

Community Needs Activity

For the community needs activity, four poster size sheets of paper were hung at different areas of the room. The four headings were:

- Trails and Natural Area Access
- Major Outdoor Facility (e.g. sports tournament complex, added water access points)
- A Variety of Recreation Amenities (across the city)
- Major Indoor Facility (e.g. recreation center, civic campus)

Following the presentation, Ms. Mendoza asked everyone to stand near what they considered to be their highest priority and write any specific ideas that wanted to be emphasized.

The following were the results:

- Trails and Natural Area Access - 3 persons
 - Connectivity
- Major Outdoor Facility (e.g. sports tournament complex, added water access points) – 4 persons
 - All weather multi-purpose fields

- Rectangle and baseball/softball fields
- A Variety of Recreation Amenities (across the city) – 4 persons
 - Outdoor exercise equipment
 - Splash pads
- Major Indoor Facility (e.g. recreation center, civic campus) – 5 persons
 - Need indoor facility multipurpose with young to mid-age adult facilities
 - New and expand indoor activities, programs and services for all
 - Facility that allows for ADA accessible teams and sports (power chair soccer)

The results of which showed that balancing priorities would be a challenge for this project. It should be noted the sub items are not intended to be comprehensive but serve as examples.

Discussion: Preliminary Needs Assessment Ideas

Following the activity, Ms. Mendoza began a map exercise intended to initiate a discussion on community needs and to get clarity from the committee that will ultimately assist how the questions are framed in the needs assessment.

Cindy Mendoza drew a 1/2 mile radius around the large neighborhood parks and 1/4 mile radius around small neighborhood parks, these parks included Jurgens Park, Tualatin Community Park, Stoneridge Park, Atfalati Park, Lafky Park and Ibach Park. It should be noted that Brown's Ferry Park was not circled because it does not have amenities typical of a neighborhood park, like play areas.

Ms. Mendoza then posed the question: does it matter if residents have access to a neighborhood or community park within a 1/2 mile and if so, does it have to be a neighborhood or community park, can it be other parkland? The committee responded that it does matter that residents have access to neighborhood or community parks.

Following the second question, Cindy Mendoza then drew circles around Bridgeport Elementary, Byrom Elementary, Hazelbrook Middle school and Tualatin High School and asked: What role do school facilities play?

Some highlights of the discussion included:

- Walkability is important to the community
- Considering accessibility, pedestrian amenities and topography a 1/2 mile standard may not be appropriate
- Schools provide an opportunity to meet the needs of the community
- Consider Brown's Ferry a natural area park, if that is what it was intended to be
- Emphasize access to natural areas to provide opportunities for residents to be outdoor and in nature encouraging stewardship
- Access to the Tualatin River should be maintained
- Types of indoor space to support recreation programming should be considered

Close/Next Steps

At the next PAC meeting the committee will be asked to review preliminary findings from the needs assessment and outreach activities.

It was announced that the online survey closes September 24, 2017.

Chair Valerie Pratt adjourned the meeting at 8:28 pm



PARKS & RECREATION MASTER PLAN

EXISTING PARK AND RECREATION SYSTEM

Introduction

In July 2017, the City of Tualatin began updating its 1983 Parks & Recreation Master Plan. The updated plan will provide guidance for parks, recreation facilities, programs, greenways, and natural areas, to address community needs now and in the future.

The early phases of the planning process included a technical analysis of the park and recreation system. This document summarizes the information and findings from the System Inventory and Analysis tasks (Figure 1). These findings will be combined with the results of an extensive public outreach process to evaluate and assess community needs. When completed, the updated Parks & Recreation Master Plan (Master Plan) will identify planning goals, recommendations, and an action plan to enhance Tualatin's park and recreation system through 2035.

Figure 1: Stages of the Planning Process



Parkland Classification

Tualatin has a variety of parks and open spaces, which are referred to collectively as parklands. This section summarizes the types of parklands provided in the City's inventory. Map 1, at the end of the document, shows the location of these sites. Table 1 summarizes the City parkland acreage by classification. As shown in the table, the City provides more than 316 acres of parklands.

- **Parks:** Parks are designed to support active and passive recreation, preservation and enhancement of natural resources, improve public health, and strengthen economic development. The City has eight parks, ranging from 0.23 to 27.11 acres in size. The sites collectively provide indoor and outdoor recreation facilities and a variety of amenities to support park use. These developed parks represent slightly more than one-fourth of City parklands (26%).
- **Greenways:** Greenways are protected open space and trail corridors maintained for conservation, recreation, non-motorized transportation, flood control, and utilities. They range in size from 0.43 to 54.22 acres in size and support trails from 750 feet to nearly four miles in length. Combined, greenways contribute more than 44,000 feet of trails, slightly more than eight miles in total. In terms of acreage, greenways represent the largest land category (120 acres or 38% of the City's parklands).
- **Natural Parks & Areas:** Natural Areas are protected natural resources with limited improvements such as trail heads, paths, and interpretive signs. These range in size from 0.06 acres to 43.21 acres. Natural Parks and Areas represent approximately 34% of the City's parklands.
- **School Joint-Use Facilities:** Through a joint use agreement, the City invests in and ensures public access to two school facilities. The acreage associated with these partner sites is not counted in the City's inventory, but these facilities represent important shared resources for residents and students.
- **Shared Use Paths:** These sites allow public access to corridors that include (or eventually will include) shared use paths to support trail connectivity. Combined, these provide approximately half a mile of trails.

Table 1: Parkland Classification Summary

Classification	Number of Sites	Total Acreage	Examples
Parks	8	83.75	Tualatin Community Park, Ibach Park
Greenways	10	119.63	Tualatin River Greenway, Hedges Creek Greenway
Natural Parks & Areas	10	107.07	Brown's Ferry Park, Little Woodrose Park, Hedges Creek Wetlands Protection District
School Joint-Use Facilities	2	-	Tualatin High School Sports Field, TuHS-Byrom Elementary Cross-Country Trail
Shared Use Paths	6	5.69	Ice Age Tonquin Trail, Byrom Shared Use Path
Totals	36	316.14	

PARKS

Parks include four categories by function (Table 2).

Table 2: Park Type Summary

Park Type	Function	Number of Sites	Acreage	Park Sites
Community Parks	Large parks that provide a variety of recreation opportunities for the entire community, typically support large group gatherings, protect natural resources, and include specialized facilities such as community centers, sports fields, courts, and a boat ramp.	1	27.11	Tualatin Community Park
Large Neighborhood Parks	Mid-sized parks, accessible by walking, biking or driving that support sports, recreation, picnicking, and play opportunities for surrounding neighborhoods.	3	13.27-20.08	Atfalati Park, Ibach Park, Jurgens Park
Small Neighborhood Parks	Small parks located within biking and walking distance that provide gathering and play space for nearby neighbors.	2	0.23-2.0	Lafky Park, Stoneridge Park
Special Use	Parks, recreation facilities, or sites designed around a singular purpose, such as plazas, historic properties, gateways, waysides, sports complexes, etc.	2	0.64-4.83	Tualatin Commons, Tualatin Commons Park

Recreation Facilities

Table 3 summarizes recreation amenities, facilities, and features as noted in the City inventory.

- **Amenities:** Elements that support park comfort, attractiveness, and use (e.g., restrooms, bike racks, drinking fountains, and barbecues).
- **Facilities:** Developed areas, recreation elements, or buildings designed to support a recreation activity (e.g., community center, sports field, trail). Facilities available for rental include Juanita Pohl Center, the Library, sports fields, picnic shelters, and the Tualatin Heritage Center.
- **Features:** Rivers, creeks, wetlands, wooded areas, and wildlife viewing areas that support recreation.

Table 3: Recreation Facilities and Numbers

Facility Type	Number of City Facilities
Athletic Facilities	
Rectangular Field	8
Ball Field	5
Basketball Court	6

Facility Type	Number of City Facilities
<i>Tennis Court</i>	8
<i>Pickleball Court</i>	14
<i>Outdoor Recreation Facilities</i>	
<i>Play Feature</i>	9
<i>Flexible Use Lawn Area</i>	8
<i>Horseshoe Pit</i>	2
<i>Skate Park</i>	1
<i>Water Play/Spray Feature</i>	4
<i>Trails/Natural Features</i>	
<i>Natural Areas & Wildlife Viewing</i>	18
<i>Trails</i>	23
<i>River Access</i>	4
<i>Interpretive Features</i>	11
<i>Amenities</i>	
<i>Barbeque</i>	3
<i>Dog Park</i>	1
<i>Covered Picnic Shelter</i>	9
<i>Restroom</i>	6
<i>Picnic Table</i>	6
<i>Art</i>	7
<i>Specialized</i>	
<i>Floating Dock/ Boat Ramp (non-motorized)</i>	4
<i>Kayak & Canoe Rentals</i>	1
<i>Special Use Buildings*</i>	10

* Community Services Administration Offices, Juanita Pohl Center, Lafky House, Van Raden Community Center, Tualatin Heritage Center, Brown's Ferry Community Center, Louis Walnut House, and other support structures.


Programs and Events

Tualatin offers a variety of programs and events for residents and visitors. Core programs (identified based on the numbers of programs provided and numbers of people served) include programs offered by the Library, those focused on special events, and programs supporting youth/teens and seniors. Program service areas can be defined as the following:

- **Arts & Culture:** *includes visual, fine and performing arts, cultural heritage*
- **Before & After School Youth Programs:** *includes programs during the school year on days school is in session*
- **Day Camps:** *includes activities held when school is not in session*
- **Development & Leadership:** *includes age appropriate development programs*
- **Enrichment & Learning:** *includes classes, lectures, instruction, and learning*
- **Health, Wellness & Fitness:** *includes physical activity, nutrition, and programs with an emphasis on health*
- **Nature-Based Programming:** *includes outdoor recreation with nature interpretation and/or environmental education*
- **Social Activities:** *drop-in programs and games*
- **Special Events:** *includes community festivals and events*
- **Sports:** *includes competitive sports leagues and tournaments*
- **Volunteerism:** *includes volunteer-based service projects and activities*

Summary

The City of Tualatin has a strong inventory of parklands, facilities, programs, and events provided across the city to serve residents, employees and tourists. Parklands tend to be balanced between natural areas and parks, supporting a variety of recreation opportunities. Consistent with this type of system, the City tends to offer more trails, greenways, and nature features to support outdoor recreation but also provides sports fields and courts, play features, and picnic areas for residents. Tualatin Public Library also provides enrichment, learning, and youth programs in coordination and collaboration with parks and recreation programs. The City provides ten special use buildings, including facilities such as the Juanita Pohl Center and Tualatin Heritage Center. The Van Raden Community Center, Brown's Ferry Community Center, and others are small, primarily re-purposed housing and buildings not originally intended for recreation. This affects the types of programs and events offered in the community.



Map 1: Existing Parks, Natural Areas and Recreation Resources

Tualatin Parkland

- Parks
- Greenways
- Natural Parks & Areas
- Shared Use Paths
- School Joint-Use Facilities

Other Parks and Natural Areas

- Other Developed Parks and Recreation Areas
- Other Greenways and Natural Areas
- Tigard-Tualatin District Schools

Trails

- Shared Use Paths

Base Map Features

- Tualatin City Boundary
- County Boundary
- Freeways/Highways
- Major Arterials
- Local Streets
- Railroads
- Streams
- Rivers and Waterbodies

Date: October 2017

Sources: City of Tualatin, Parks and Recreation, 2017; Metro Regional Government, 2017; Oregon Spatial Data Library, State of Oregon, 2017.

Disclaimer: This map is derived from various digital database sources. While an attempt has been made to provide an accurate map, the City of Tualatin, OR assumes no responsibility or liability for any errors or omissions in the information. This map is provided "as is".





PARKS & RECREATION MASTER PLAN

Public Outreach Summary

November 2017



PARKS & RECREATION MASTER PLAN

PUBLIC OUTREACH SUMMARY

In 2017, the City of Tualatin started the process of updating its Parks & Recreation Master Plan (Master Plan) to identify the community's vision and aspirations for developing and enhancing City parks, recreation facilities, greenways, natural areas, and trails. As part of the Needs Assessment, six different types of community outreach activities were employed to better understand residents' needs and priorities. This Public Outreach Summary provides an overview of the outreach activities conducted to date and identifies the overarching themes that emerged from these activities. The document includes six appendices:

- Appendix A: Online Questionnaire Summary
- Appendix B: Pop-Up Activity Summary
- Appendix C: Focus Group Summary
- Appendix D: Hispanic/Latino Focus Group Summary
- Appendix E: Stakeholder Interview Summary
- Appendix F: Park Walk Summary

As described on the following pages, the most prominent community outreach themes that emerged from these activities include:

- **Parks and recreation are important to Tualatin's quality of life.**
- **Trail connections and trail activities are a priority for community members.**
- **There is a need for improved facilities and expanded capacity for sports.**
- **A multi-use indoor facility is desired to support a variety of community interests and needs.**
- **Community members desire stronger, inclusive communications and marketing from the City and Community Services Department.**
- **A greater variety of activities and programs is needed to meet the needs and interests of people of all ages and cultures.**
- **Community members highly value Tualatin's natural resources and want access to natural features, especially the river, for recreation.**



Tualatin residents provided feedback at a variety of events throughout the city, including this pop-up activity set up at the National Night Out in Lafky Park.

Outreach Methods and Participation

The City of Tualatin reached approximately 2,892 residents and stakeholders through six different outreach activities (Table 1). This response is higher than most similar communities achieve through outreach. Each activity is described below:

- **Questionnaire:** From August to September 2017, a questionnaire was administered to identify community priorities and needs. The questionnaire was available primarily online, with a paper version available in Spanish and English. City staff advertised the questionnaire widely via regular and social media, flyers and posters, handouts, and targeted emails, encouraging anyone 10 years and older to respond. A total of 1,454 individuals responded to the questionnaire with 1,327 participants reaching the last section.
- **Pop-Up/Intercept Activities:** From July to September 2017, a series of pop-up events were facilitated by the City. Interactive displays were set up at special events, festivals, and meetings, or in prominent, busy locations, such as the Public Library and the Juanita Pohl Center (JPC), to collect community feedback and inform people about the planning process and other opportunities to be involved. Participants responded to questions about the importance of parks, frequency of visitation, activities needed in Tualatin, and most important improvements. A total of 32 pop-ups were held throughout the City with approximately 1,340 participants.
- **Focus Groups:** In August and September 2017, a total of 11 focus groups were held with groups representing a broad cross-section of Tualatin residents. Combined, 76 individuals participated in the meetings, which provided an overview of the master planning process. The guided discussions covered topics such as favorite park or recreation opportunities in Tualatin, areas for improvement or expansion, and participants' vision and priorities for parks and recreation.
- **Hispanic/Latino Focus Group:** On September 20, 2017, a focus group with community leaders and members of the Hispanic/Latino community was held. The meeting was facilitated in Spanish and included identifying favorite park or recreation opportunities in Tualatin, areas for improvement or expansion, and participants' vision and priorities for parks and recreation. Nine total individuals participated in the focus group.
- **Stakeholder Interviews:** In August 2017, a series of interviews was held to gain insights from City Council and school district administrator about the opportunities and challenges the Master Plan should address. The discussions were oriented around specific questions, but stakeholders were

encouraged to share their insights and desired outcomes for the planning process. Seven total interviews were conducted, including six in person and one over the phone.

- **Park Walks:** Although City staff advertised and planned a total of six park walks, two were facilitated on September 16, 2017, at Ibach Park and Jurgens Park. The hosted tours allowed participants to document what they like, what they want improved, and/or what opportunities they see for those park sites. Participants also took photos of elements they loved about the parks and opportunities for improvement. A total of six participants attended.

Table 1: Participation in Outreach Activities

Activity	Number of Participants
Online Questionnaire	1,454
Pop-Up/Intercept Activities	1,340*
Focus Groups	76
Hispanic/Latino Focus Group	9
Stakeholder Interviews	7
Park/Greenway Walks	6
Total Participants	2,892

*Total participants for pop-up activities is an estimate based on a calculation of the responses.

In addition to the outreach activities, a Project Advisory Committee (PAC) comprised of members of the Tualatin Parks Advisory Committee (TPARK) and community members was convened. The PAC is responsible for reviewing outreach findings and helping to interpret community needs in the context of the group's specialized knowledge about the community and park and recreation system.



The online questionnaire was promoted through Facebook, NextDoor, the City website, posters at parks and high traffic areas such as the Library, and business cards handed out at in parks and displayed at City offices, the Library and the Juanita Pohl Center. This advertisement appeared in “Explore Tualatin” quarterly recreation guide directly mailed to all residents, businesses, and post office boxes in Tualatin, and Tualatin Life, a local newspaper that reaches over 1,200 mailboxes.

Key Themes


Although outreach participants provided a wide range of perspectives and priorities, common themes emerged to identify community-wide needs and priorities.

TRAILS AND TRAIL CONNECTIVITY

Community members indicated that trail connections and trail activities are important.

Questionnaire respondents selected trail connectivity as their top funding priority, and 60% said that additional trails or greenways are needed in the City. Walking/biking was the most frequently selected activity that people would like to see more of in Tualatin (37% of responses). Trail amenities such as benches and lights were frequently referenced as needs, as was a desire to make trails safe and accessible for users of all ages and abilities. Participants also noted a high level of demand for bicycle-accessible paths and trails. Community members expressed interest in expanding both regional and local connectivity.

Figure 1: Most Important Activities to Provide or Expand (Questionnaire and Pop-Up Activities)



Of the 14 options for improving or expanding Tualatin's parks and recreation system, participants of the questionnaire and pop-up events selected the same seven as their top options, in somewhat similar proportions and in a similar order.



Participants in all outreach activities expressed a high level of support for expanded trails and improved connectivity.

SPORTS FACILITIES

Community members identified a need for improved and/or expanded sports facilities, primarily for field sports and court sports. “Provide more parks and sports fields” was the most frequently selected improvement identified by pop-up participants (41%). When questionnaire respondents were asked to identify funding priorities, “Provide more sports fields and courts” was a separate option from “Provide more parks.” Combined, these options had a similar response as the pop-up participants, with 27% selecting the former and 11% selecting the latter. Conversely, only 10% of questionnaire respondents identified the quality of existing sports programs and facilities as “Excellent.” This sentiment was echoed in other outreach activities as well, in relation to both the quality and quantity of fields. In some cases, it was noted that inadequate parkland might pose challenges for expanding the number of fields. This led to suggestions for multi-sport field overlays, better lighting to increase use, partnerships with the Tigard-Tualatin School District, and artificial turf to enable heavy year-round use of existing fields. Desire for a multi-sport tournament complex was noted on several occasions as an option to meet sport field needs. While having additional sports programs was not a consistent community priority, there was strong support for ensuring that all who are interested have access to sports opportunities, whether through an organized team or by way of field availability for pick-up games.




Soccer fields were identified by participants as a priority in all outreach activities.

COMMUNITY HUB/INDOOR FACILITIES

Community members expressed the need for a multi-purpose indoor space. While participants generally agreed on the need for an indoor space, there was variation in the type or function of that space. For some participants, indoor sports, fitness, and recreation amenities were most important, while for others, indoor spaces should prioritize meeting spaces, community gathering, and/or event opportunities. While some participants were in support of new facility construction, others were in favor of expanding existing facilities. Among the stakeholders, there was generally support for a City Hall campus, i.e. a center that provides a centralized civic center for the City, though this was not a high priority for participants in other outreach activities.

Despite the variation in indoor space priorities, participants were generally consistent in their opinion that indoor facilities are important. In general, the Tualatin Public Library was identified as a popular community hub and a valuable resource. For example, 61% of questionnaire respondents reported that they visit the Library “Frequently” or “Sometimes,” compared to 58% who reported the same level of visitation for trails and natural areas, and far fewer visiting other indoor facilities frequently (Figure 2). Participants in the Hispanic/Latino focus group commented on the quality of Library programs and bilingual services, and strongly noted the importance of the Library. Among questionnaire respondents, 80% identified the Library facilities and programs as either “Excellent” or “Good,” the highest rated of 11 options. On the other hand, participants identified the JPC and other community centers as aging and inadequate for Tualatin’s existing and future needs, with the JPC noted for its perception as serving only seniors. Only 13% of questionnaire respondents reported that they visit the JPC “Frequently” or “Sometimes,” and eight percent reported that same level of visitation to the Van Raden Community Center and the Heritage Center (Figure 2).

Figure 2: Frequency of Selected Facility Visitation Among Questionnaire Respondents



This indoor facility usage is mirrored by participants in other outreach activities, who reported frequent visitation of the Library and infrequent or no visitation of the community centers.

COMMUNICATION AND MARKETING

Participants identified an important need for improved communication and marketing between the City and residents.

For some community members, improved communication and marketing would provide a better understanding of the programs and services available, as well as which opportunities are provided at what locations by the Community Services Department. In the questionnaire, not knowing what is available emerged at the third top reason for not visiting parks more frequently. For members of the Hispanic/Latino focus group, this meant better communication through bilingual resources about programs and scholarships, but also a stronger dialogue with City officials about community preferences, needs, and concerns. These concerns about the lack of Hispanic/Latino participation in city life were echoed by other groups as well. In the stakeholder interviews, participants also noted that better communications informing residents about benefits and costs of programs and services might help generate more funding support for these initiatives.

CHANGING COMMUNITY NEEDS

Community members want a greater variety of activities and programs to meet the needs and interests of a range of ages and diversity of cultures.

Tualatin's population is changing, and community members desire a parks and recreation system that meets the community's evolving needs. The City has traditionally focused on youth, family programs, and older adults. But as the population becomes more demographically diverse and many residents age, there is a demand for greater variety and improvement of programs and services, including those that serve a wide range of adults, seniors, teens, and young adults, while reflecting Tualatin's multi-cultural population. In the questionnaire, for example, respondents identified residents of all ages as needing recreational variety and improvement. In other outreach efforts, there was an emphasis on providing events and activities that highlight Tualatin's diverse cultures. In the Hispanic/Latino focus group, participants sought a more diverse selection of entertainment at existing events to celebrate Hispanic cultural heritage. Participants also

recommended opportunities for gathering and recreation, suggesting Salsa dancing events, affordable sports (both drop-in and organized), and better spaces for socializing that supported larger groups. Across all outreach activities, participants expressed a desire for multi-generational opportunities, year-round recreation options, low-impact activities such as pickleball, as well as more challenging activities for adults, and opportunities for people of all abilities.




The provision of additional covered spaces in parks was identified as one means of expanding year-round opportunities for outdoor programs and events, and for socializing in rainy weather.

QUALITY OF LIFE

Parks and recreation are important to Tualatin community members' quality of life. Outreach participants agreed almost unanimously that parks, recreation programs, events, and trails are important to Tualatin's quality of life. For many, the parks system provides opportunities to be outdoors, experience nature, maintain personal health, and engage youth in activities. Another benefit noted frequently was that parks provide spaces for families and the community to gather. As noted in Figure 3, between 94 and 98% of questionnaire and pop-up activities participants placed a high level of importance on parks, recreation programs, events, and trails. (Questionnaire and pop-up activities account for approximately 97% of all outreach participants.) The findings suggest that maintaining this quality of life will require more variety in programs and services, likely necessitating greater investment.

Figure 3: Importance of Parks, Recreation Programs, Events, and Trails to Tualatin's Quality of Life





Seating, especially seating incorporating an activity as shown here, was identified by some participants as an amenity to improve the enjoyment of parks and trails to enhance the quality of life.

CONNECTIONS TO NATURE

Participants strongly support the protection of natural resources with access for passive recreation opportunities. Nearly 92% of questionnaire respondents identified natural resource protection as either “Very Important” or “Somewhat Important.” Participants across outreach activities prioritized access to natural areas, especially the river, for education, interpretation, and recreation. This indicates that although participants support natural resource protection, they generally prioritize protection *with* access, rather than protection that limits access. Some participants also noted that access should be provided to users of all ages and abilities, specifically identifying some of the City’s trails and riverfront ramps as potential challenges for people with mobility disabilities.




This park walk participant identified an opportunity to improve riverfront access at Jurgens Park for people with disabilities.

Conclusion

The findings described in this report reflect the cross-cutting themes from all activities, rather than the feedback from all participants. However, all responses were assessed to gain a more complete understanding of the community's needs and priorities. The findings from this outreach will be combined with other technical information and data to complete the needs assessment, which will serve as the foundation for recommendations in future stages of the Master Plan update.



The Library was consistently noted as a frequently-visited, valuable community hub, with resources and programming for people of different ages and cultures.



{ APPENDIX A: }

Online Questionnaire Summary



PARKS & RECREATION MASTER PLAN

APPENDIX A: ONLINE QUESTIONNAIRE SUMMARY

The City of Tualatin is updating its Parks & Recreation Master Plan to guide City decisions to provide and improve parks, greenways, natural areas, recreation facilities, trails, programs, and events. As part of this effort, the project team distributed a questionnaire from August 17 to September 26, 2017, to identify community priorities and needs. The questionnaire was available in online and paper format, with a Spanish-language version in paper only. City staff advertised the questionnaire widely via regular and social media, flyers and posters, handouts, and targeted emails. Staff encouraged anyone 10 years and older to respond.

A total of 1,454 respondents responded to the questionnaire with 1,327 participants reaching the last section of the questionnaire. Not every participant chose to answer all the questions. For all questions, the percentages are calculated based on the total number of respondents who viewed the question – whether or not an answer was selected. For questions where only one response was allowed, the number of people who did not respond to the question is noted.

Note that a questionnaire is not statistically representative, and responses are not considered representative of city residents or voters overall. The questionnaire format allows everyone who wants to have a voice in the planning process the opportunity to do so. These responses reflect the opinions of residents and other parks and recreation system users and stakeholders who chose to participate in this survey. For this reason, findings can over-emphasize the needs of groups who take the time to encourage strong participation from constituent members to ensure their needs are communicated. For example, sports programs and facilities emerged fairly consistently in the top three choices in many findings. Given the numbers of people typically involved in organized sports, this is unusual and may indicate that many respondents who regularly participate in sports in Tualatin responded strongly to the survey. Therefore, their response rate is effective in communicating the demand and need for more and better sports facilities.

This memo summarizes key findings and questionnaire results. It addresses the demographic characteristics of respondents, preferences for parks and recreation facilities, favorite parks in Tualatin, participation in events and programs, trails and natural area preferences, and desired improvements and funding priorities for the park and recreation system. A copy of the questionnaire tool and responses to open-ended questions are available under separate cover.

Demographic Profile of Respondents

The majority of respondents to the online questionnaire (82%) are residents of Tualatin, with more than 40% of respondents living in the city for more than 10 years. Most respondents are 35 to 54 years of age (55%) and have children under 18 living in their household (58%). Nearly 1% of the respondents reported that they are between the ages of 10 and 14, and 6% of the respondents reported that they are between the ages of 15 to 24. For reference, 7.5% and 13.7% of the population in Tualatin are between 10 to 14 years of age and 15 to 24 years of age, respectively (American Community Survey 2013 5-year Estimate). This suggests that young adults and teens are under-represented in the questionnaire.

Around 86% of the respondents identified themselves as Caucasian or White, followed by 4.5% of respondents who identified as Hispanic or Latino. For reference, 71% of Tualatin is Caucasian or White and 19% of the population is Hispanic or Latino (American Community Survey 2013 5-year Estimate). This suggests that the Hispanic/Latino population is under-represented in the questionnaire.

Table 1: What is your age?

Answer	Count	Percentage
10-14	11	0.8%
15-17	58	4.0%
18-24	27	1.9%
25-34	164	11.3%
35-44	470	32.3%
45-54	325	22.4%
55-64	222	15.3%
65 to 74	146	10.0%
75+	22	1.5%
No Answer	9	0.6%
Total Respondents	1,454	100%

Table 2: Do you have any children under the age of 18 living with you?

Answer	Count	Percentage
Yes	837	57.6%
No	582	40.0%
No Answer	35	2.4%
Total Respondents	1,454	100%

Table 3: Do you live, work, or go to school in Tualatin? (Select all that apply)

Answer	Count	Percentage
I live in Tualatin	1196	82.3%
I work or own a business in Tualatin	343	23.6%
I go to school in Tualatin	102	7.0%
Just visiting	10	0.7%
I live in a nearby community	184	12.7%

Figure 1: If you live in Tualatin or in a nearby community, move the pin on the map to show the general location.




Table 4: If you live in Tualatin, about how long have you lived here?

Answer	Count	Percentage
1-2 years	47	3.9%
3-5 years	96	8.0%
6-10 years	177	14.8%
10-15 years	195	16.3%
16+ years	290	24.3%
Total Respondents	1,196	100%

Table 5: Please indicate your gender.

Answer	Count	Percentage
Female	919	63%
Male	504	35%
Transgender/Non-Binary	4	0%
Prefer not to answer	18	1%
Other	3	0%
Total Respondents	1,454	100%

Table 6: Most people think of themselves as belonging to a particular ethnic or racial group. How do you identify yourself? (Choose all that apply)

Answer	Count	Percentage
African American/Black	9	0.6%
Asian or Asian American	59	4.1%
Caucasian/White	1251	86.1%
Hispanic/Latino	65	4.5%
Multi-racial	56	3.9%
Native American	16	1.1%
Other	30	2.1%

Parks and Recreation Facilities

The following key findings emerged from the analysis of the questionnaire results for parks and facilities (Tables 8, 9, and 10, and Figures 2 and 3).

- **Importance of the Parks and Recreation System:** More than 94% of the respondents said that parks, recreation programs, events, and trails are important to Tualatin's quality of life.
- **Benefits of the Parks and Recreation System:** Providing opportunities to be outdoors or in nature (53%) and creating positive activities for youth (33%) were identified as the top two benefits of the City's parks and recreation system. Supporting health and fitness (25%) and protecting natural environment (19%) are also important. Access to the river and bringing the community together at events (around 11% each) were not as strong priorities for respondents.
- **Quality of Parks and Facilities:** Nearly 80% of the respondents rated the following as "Excellent" or "Good" among the services provided by the City of Tualatin's Community Services Department:
 - Library facilities and programs
 - Trails and greenways
 - Parks and outdoor facilities
 - Natural areas

In contrast, less than 37% felt that the quality of indoor recreation facilities and sports facilities were "Good" or "Excellent," and these two elements received the most "Poor" ratings. Responses regarding the quality of community events, arts in parks, historic preservation, and recreation programs and activities were mixed. Approximately 50% of respondents rated them as "Excellent" or "Good" and another 14-24% of respondents did not rate these elements at all.

- **Park Visitation:** More than 70% of the respondents visit community parks and large neighborhood parks "Frequently" or "Sometimes." Compared to these parks, relatively fewer respondents visit the Tualatin Public Library (61% "Frequently" or "Sometimes") and the multipurpose trail system and natural areas (58% "Frequently" or "Sometimes"), though these are still common destinations for participants. In contrast, nearly 45% of the respondents reported they "Never" visit the Van Raden Community Center/Heritage Center nor the Juanita Pohl Center.
- **Favorite Park:** Respondents' favorite parks tend to be community parks and larger neighborhood parks. The top sites mentioned, based on how frequently they were noted, include: Ibach Park (noted 260 times), Brown's Ferry Park (194), Jurgens Park (146), the Tualatin River (128), Tualatin Commons (66), the Public Library (53), and Atfalati Park (47). In overall responses to this question, the words trail (227), walking (92), greenway (84), and connecting (71) also appeared in top 10 most mentioned words.

Table 8: What benefits of the Tualatin parks and recreation system are most important to you?
(Choose 2)

Answer	Count	Percentage
Provide opportunities to be outdoors / in nature	774	53.3%
Create positive recreation and learning activities for youth	475	32.7%
Support health and fitness	359	24.7%
Protect our natural environment	281	19.4%
Create places for neighborhood and family gatherings	244	16.8%
Provide access to the river and waterfront	164	11.3%
Bring the entire community together at events	162	11.2%
Increase property values and strengthen the economy	103	7.1%
Continue lifelong learning and recreation	102	7.0%
Support a unique identity for Tualatin	74	5.1%
Foster a cohesive community	67	4.6%
Reduce social isolation	51	3.5%
None of these benefits are important to me	5	0.3%

Table 9: How important are parks, recreation programs, events, and trails to Tualatin's quality of life?

Answer	Count	Percentage
Very important	1161	80.0%
Somewhat important	204	14.1%
Neutral	25	1.7%
Somewhat unimportant	4	0.3%
Not at all important	2	0.1%
No answer	55	3.8%
Total Respondents	1,451	100%

Figure 2: How are we doing? Please rate the quality of the following provided by the City of Tualatin Parks and Recreation Department:




Figure 3: How often do you visit or use the following areas in Tualatin?




Table 10: If you seldom use or do not use the parks in Tualatin, what are your reasons? (Choose 2)

Answer	Count	Percentage
No time	316	23.1%
Too far away/not conveniently located	149	10.9%
Do not know what's available	123	9.0%
Lack of facilities	85	6.2%
Do not know where they are located	74	5.4%
Inadequate parking	45	3.3%
Feel unsafe	34	2.5%
Poorly maintained / poor condition	34	2.5%
Too crowded	30	2.2%
Do not like what Tualatin parks offer	25	1.8%
Do not have transportation	16	1.2%
Other*	153	11.2%

*"Other" comments included the lack of walking trails, mobility issues, and lack of facilities such as pickleball courts, playgrounds for children, and dog parks.

Recreation Events, Classes, and Programs

The following key findings emerged from the analysis of the questionnaire results for parks and facilities (Tables 11 to 14).

- **Program Providers:** Tualatin Public Library (40%) and Tualatin Parks and Recreation (40%) emerged as the top two providers of events, classes, and programs in which respondents or their family members have participated in the past year. More than 33% of respondents or their family members reported participating in programs and activities offered by sports leagues.
- **Events, Classes, and Programs:** Looking at all community events together, more than 75% of respondents reported participating in community events, festivals, music concerts, or cultural events in the past year. Sports classes, events, and programs emerged as second top activity that most respondents selected but was only noted by 38% of respondents.
- **Variety and Availability of Classes and Programs:** More than 30% of the respondents rated the variety and availability of recreation classes and programs as "Excellent" or "Very Good" while more than 35% of the respondents rated the variety and availability as "Satisfactory" or "Not Very Good." This is aligned with the low ratings for indoor facilities elsewhere in the questionnaire. Respondents rated the quality of indoor recreation facilities provided by the City poorly. Existing City community centers have some of the lowest visitation rates. Respondents noted that indoor recreation programming is one of the top

four priorities for expansion. Providing a multi-purpose recreation center is the third top funding priority. For this reason, these better ratings (“Excellent” and “Very Good”) regarding the variety and availability of programs and classes likely refer to outdoor recreation opportunities provided by the City.

- **Underserved Groups:** More than 28% of respondents did not know whether any groups need more or better recreation programs and classes. Many respondents indicated that teens, adults, and children ages 6 to 12 (selected by 25% of respondents for each) are groups that need more variety or better recreation classes and programs. Approximately 14% of respondents noted the needs of families and young adults. This distribution of responses may suggest that a variety of user groups could benefit from increase in variety and availability of recreation classes and programs.

Table 11: In the past year, have you or a family member participated or volunteered in an event, program, or activity offered by any of the following? (Check all that apply)

Answer	Count	Percentage
Tualatin Public Library	604	44.4%
Tualatin Parks & Recreation	546	40.1%
Sports league	455	33.4%
Another organization or non-profit	310	22.8%
Juanita Pohl Center	187	13.7%
Another city or park district	149	10.9%
Tualatin Heritage Center	104	7.6%
Unsure/don't know	34	2.5%
No, I did not participate in any event, program or activity	229	16.8%

Table 12: Within the past year, did you or a family member participate or volunteer in any of the events, classes, or programs listed below? (Check all that apply)

Answer	Count	Percentage
Community events and festivals*	649	47.7%
Sports	520	38.2%
Music, concerts, and cultural or historical events (attending)*	381	28.0%
Youth summer camps and programs	285	20.9%
Nature programs or activities	138	10.1%
Arts and crafts	123	9.0%
Storytime or pre-school programs	115	8.4%
Fitness classes	105	7.7%
Older adult or adult programs	99	7.3%
Before or after-school programs	77	5.7%
Dance, theater, or other performing arts (performing in)	63	4.6%
Other	50	3.7%
No, I did not participate in any events, classes, or programs	256	18.8%

*Looking at all community events together, 75.7% of respondents reported participating in community events, festivals, music concerts, or cultural and historical events in the past year.

Table 13: How would you rate the variety and availability of recreation classes and programs provided by the City?

Answer	Count	Percentage
Excellent. There are many opportunities to do all or most of the recreation activities and programs I enjoy	97	7.2%
Very Good. There are several opportunities to do many of the recreation activities and programs I enjoy	343	25.4%
Satisfactory. There are some opportunities to do the recreation activities and programs I enjoy	363	26.9%
Not very good. There are a few opportunities to do the recreation activities and programs I enjoy	112	8.3%
Totally inadequate. There are no opportunities to do any of the recreation activities and programs I enjoy	18	1.3%
Not sure. I don't know what is offered	229	17.0%
No answer	188	13.9%
Total Respondents	1,350	100%

Table 14: Which of the following groups needs more variety or better recreation classes and programs in Tualatin? (Select all that apply)

Answer	Count	Percentage
Teens (13-17)	359	26.6%
Adults (25-54)	341	25.3%
Children (6-12)	326	24.2%
Families	196	14.5%
Young adults (18-24)	189	14.0%
Children (0-5)	168	12.4%
Older Adults (55+)	165	12.2%
People with disabilities	80	5.9%
Unsure/don't know	370	27.4%
None of the above	47	3.5%
Other	34	2.5%

Trails, Greenways, and Natural Areas

The following key findings emerged from the analysis of the questionnaire results for parks and facilities (Tables 15 to 18).

- **Additional Trails and Greenways:** There is a strong support for additional trails or greenways in Tualatin, with 60% of respondents responding that additional trails or greenways are needed in Tualatin. While 14% said no additional trails or greenways are needed, another 25% said they did not know or did not answer the question.
- **Type of Trails Most Needed:** The top two types of trails most desired by respondents are trails that link with other existing trails and paved trails for walking and biking (42% each).
- **Natural Resource Protection:** Respondents showed strong support for protecting natural resources in Tualatin. Nearly 92% of respondents said it is “Very Important” or “Somewhat Important” to protect natural resources. The top three choices for the types of natural resource improvements include restoring/maintaining natural areas in parks (50%), providing more natural areas that support environmental education, nature interpretation or outdoor recreation (40%), and protecting water quality and riparian corridors (39%).

Table 15: Are additional trails or greenways needed in Tualatin?

Answer	Count	Percentage
Yes	816	60.7%
No	189	14.1%
Unsure/don't know	264	19.6%
No answer	75	5.6%
Total Respondents	1,344	100%

Table 16: What type of trails are most needed in Tualatin? (Choose 2)

Answer	Count	Percentage
Trails that link with other existing trails	347	42.5%
Paved trails for walking, biking, etc.	341	41.8%
Nature trails (pedestrians only)	292	35.8%
Trails that link neighborhoods with community businesses and public facilities	285	34.9%
Trails that connect to other cities and the regional trail system	195	23.9%
Trails that improve access to parks	73	9.0%

Table 17: How would you rate the importance of protecting natural resources?

Answer	Count	Percentage
Very important	988	73.5%
Somewhat important	244	18.2%
Neutral	68	5.1%
Somewhat unimportant	15	1.1%
Not at all important	7	0.5%
No answer	22	1.6%
Total Respondents	1,344	100%

Table 18: What type of natural resource improvements should be the highest priority? (Choose 2)

Answer	Count	Percentage
Restoring or maintaining natural areas in parks	665	49.5%
Providing natural areas to support environmental education, nature interpretation, and outdoor recreation	530	39.4%
Protecting water quality and riparian corridors	527	39.2%
Protecting wildlife habitat with limited or no public access	378	28.1%
Protecting the city's trees	235	17.5%
None, improving natural areas is not important	28	2.1%
Other	20	1.5%

Future Improvements and Funding Priorities

The following key findings emerged from the analysis of the questionnaire results for parks and facilities (Tables 19 and 20).

- Future Improvements:** There is strong support for additional trails or greenways in Tualatin. Walking or biking emerged as the top activity to be provided or expanded, and building more trails or connecting the trail system was the top funding priority. Walking/biking (53%), sports (33%), fitness/exercise (29%), and indoor recreation programming and lifelong learning (22%) emerged as the top four activities that respondents would like to see expanded or supported in City parks.
- Top Funding Priorities:** The top three choices for funding priorities include building more trails to connect the trail system (38%), providing more sports fields and courts (27%), and building a multi-purpose facility (26%). These choices are consistent with the top four activities that respondents would like to see expanded.

- **Additional Comments:** When given an opportunity to provide additional insights in an open-ended response, many respondents noted the desire to have more activities, facilities, trails, and recreation sites of all types. There were many comments that expressed enthusiasm for Tualatin’s parks and recreation system.

Table 19: What are the most important activities to provide or expand in Tualatin’s parks and recreation system? (Choose top 3).


Answer	Count	Percentage
Walking/biking	703	52.9%
Sports	432	32.5%
Fitness, wellness and exercise	386	29.0%
Indoor recreation programming and lifelong learning classes	297	22.3%
River activities (e.g., fishing, kayaking)	272	20.5%
Large community events (e.g., Pumpkin Regatta, ArtSplash, concerts)	260	19.6%
Wildlife viewing and interpreting nature	206	15.5%
Library programs and activities	204	15.3%
After school and summer camps	172	12.9%
Adventure/challenge activities (e.g., rock climbing, zip lining)	168	12.6%
Playing in interactive, unique play areas	156	11.7%
Social gatherings (picnics, parties, etc.)	118	8.9%
Making or admiring art (e.g., pottery, drawing, crafts)	67	5.0%
Other*	93	7.0%

*"Other" comments included more sports courts and fields (pickleball, soccer, disc golf featured prominently), dog parks, water features, indoor facilities, and trails.

Table 20: Which of the following should be Tualatin's top priorities for funding parks, recreation programs, facilities, and trails? (Choose 2)

Answer	Count	Percentage
Build more trails/connect the trail system	502	37.7%
Provide more sports fields and courts	361	27.1%
Build a multi-purpose recreation facility	349	26.2%
Repair or replace worn or older park features	299	22.5%
Protect or restore natural areas	284	21.4%
Provide more parks	151	11.4%
Offer more or different recreation programs	145	10.9%
Improve maintenance of existing parks and facilities (litter removal, restroom cleaning, etc.)	121	9.1%
Add a greater variety of recreation facilities in parks	119	9.0%
Remodel or refresh existing recreation buildings	63	4.7%
Public art	25	1.9%
Other*	97	7.3%

*"Other" comments addressed the following funding priorities: more sports fields and courts (lighted facilities, pickleball courts, and turf sports fields featured prominently), trails, off-leash dog areas, and expanded library programs and activities.



{ APPENDIX B: }

Pop-Up Activity Summary



PARKS & RECREATION MASTER PLAN

APPENDIX B: POP-UP ACTIVITY SUMMARY

From July to September 2017, a series of pop-up events were facilitated by the City of Tualatin as part of the Needs Assessment conducted in updating Tualatin’s Parks & Recreation Master Plan. The purpose of pop-up events, or intercepts as they are frequently called, is to take the planning process to the community. Interactive displays are set up at special events/festivals or in prominent, busy locations to collect community feedback and inform people about the planning process and other opportunities to be involved. This activity involves people who may not otherwise have participated in the planning process.

City staff organized 32 pop-up events at single-day festivals, meetings, and various events. Standalone displays were also posted for multiple days in City facilities. Approximately 1,340 participants used stickers to respond to questions about the importance of parks, frequency of visitation, activities needed in Tualatin, and most important improvements. The total number of participants was estimated based on a calculation of responses. Locations and events for the pop-ups were selected to gather feedback from the greatest possible cross-section of Tualatin’s population, with display materials presented in English and Spanish. Table 1 provides the details for individual pop-up events.

Table 1: Pop-Up Activity Participation Details

Activity/Event/Group	Location	Date	Estimated Participants
Project Advisory Committee Meeting #1	Tualatin Library Community Room	7/25/2017	15
Willamette Wanderers Walk	Tualatin River Greenway at Barngrover Way to Nyberg	7/27/2017	12
National Night Out	SW 90th (between Umatilla & Little Woodrose)	8/1/2017	10
National Night Out	Cheyenne Way	8/1/2017	16
National Night Out	Lafky Park	8/1/2017	65
National Night Out	Pony Ridge	8/1/2017	9
National Night Out	105th & Kellogg	8/1/2017	44
Tualatin Tomorrow Advisory Committee Meeting	Tualatin Police Department, Training Room	8/2/2017	6
Library - Stand Alone Display	Tualatin Library	8/2/17-8/4/17	42

Activity/Event/Group	Location	Date	Estimated Participants
Crawfish Festival (Friday)	Tualatin Community Park	8/3/2017	80
Library - Stand Alone Display	Tualatin Library	8/4/17-8/8/17	64
Juanita Pohl Center Welcome Desk	Juanita Pohl Center	8/4/17-8/17/17	28
Crawfish Festival (Saturday)	Tualatin Community Park	8/5/2017	51
Crawfish Festival (Saturday evening)	Tualatin Community Park	8/5/2017	58
Summer Reading Program	Tualatin Commons	8/8/2017	24
Tualatin Library Advisory Committee Meeting	Tualatin Library	8/8/2017	5
Aging Adults Task Force Meeting	Juanita Pohl Center	8/14/2017	6
Tualatin Arts Advisory Committee Meeting	Community Services Administration Building	8/15/2017	7
Spruce Up the Library	Tualatin Library	8/20/2017	7
Football Registration Photo Day	Tualatin High School	8/22/2017	248
Concerts on the Commons	Tualatin Commons	8/25/2017	93
Library Summer Teen Volunteers Party	Tualatin Library	8/29/2017	8
Rotary Lunch	Tualatin Country Club	8/30/2017	15
Tualatin Historical Society Monthly Meeting	Heritage Center	9/5/2017	8
Skateboarders and Basketball Players (park users)	Tualatin Community Park	9/8/2017	151
Youth Soccer Registration Photo Day	Tualatin High School	9/9/2017	164
Youth Advisory Committee Meeting	Van Raden Center	9/14/2017	11
Pickleball Tournament	Tennis Courts	9/16/2017	53
Library Story Time	Tualatin Library	9/18/17-9/21/17	8
Planning Commission Meeting	Juanita Pohl Center	9/21/2017	8
Library Stand-alone Display	Tualatin Library	9/21/17-9/24/17	13
Chamber of Commerce Board of Directors Meeting	Chamber Offices	9/25/2017	11
Total Estimated Participants			1,340


This document provides a summary of the feedback collected from these events. Where tables and graphics are provided, the total responses vary because some participants did not answer every question and some questions allowed for multiple responses. It is worth noting that many of the pop-up activities were held at City parks and recreation facilities, which influences participation as well as responses. The questions, as seen on the display boards, are noted at the end of the document.

Community Feedback

The following findings emerged from the activity participants:


- **Parks, Recreation Programs, Events, and Trails are Important to Tualatin Residents.** As illustrated in Figure 1, 98% of participants noted that parks, recreation programs, events, and trails are “Extremely Important” or “Moderately Important” to quality of life in the city.

Figure 1: Importance of Parks, Recreation Programs, Events, and Trails



- **Community Parks and Large Neighborhood Parks are Visited Most Frequently.** When participants were asked to note how frequently they used seven different parks or recreation facilities, most described their visitation as either “Sometimes” or “Frequently” for community parks (84%) and large neighborhood parks (78%). As shown in Figure 2, large neighborhood parks have significantly higher visitation than small neighborhood parks. The Tualatin Library was the third most frequently visited destination noted. The Library showed a similar proportion of overall users as trails and natural areas, but with a higher proportion of frequent users.
- **Except for the Library, Existing Indoor Spaces are Visited Least Frequently.** Most participants infrequently visit the Juanita Pohl Center, Van Raden Community Center, or Heritage Center, with 44% self-reporting that they never visit them at all. This is especially notable since several pop-up activities were held at these sites.


Figure 2: How often do you visit or use the following areas in Tualatin?



Note: These percentages are based on the total number of respondents to each option. For example, 848 individuals recorded a response for community parks.

- Outdoor Activities are Most Strongly Desired by Participants.** When asked which three of 14 different activities they would like to see more of in Tualatin (Figure 3), pop-up participants showed the strongest support for outdoor activities. Walking/biking was identified by 37% of respondents as the top selection. Each of the top five selections for this question were explicitly outdoor in orientation or had an outdoor component. The full responses are provided in Table 2.

Figure 3: Activities Participants Would Most Like to See More of in Tualatin



Note: Percentages are based on the estimated total of pop-up respondents.


Table 2: What activities would you like to see more of in Tualatin?

Activity	Total	Total No. of Respondents	Total No. of Responses
Walking/biking	489	37%	16%
Sports	352	26%	11%
River activities	332	25%	11%
Large community events	273	20%	9%
Wildlife viewing and interpreting nature	216	16%	7%
Indoor recreation programming	212	16%	7%
Fitness, wellness, and exercise	196	15%	6%
Library programs and activities	196	15%	6%
Adventure/challenge activities	173	13%	6%
After-school and summer camps	167	12%	5%
Social gatherings	149	11%	5%
Making or admiring art	117	9%	4%
Playing in interactive, unique play areas	108	8%	4%
Other	87	7%	3%

Note: “Total No. of Respondents” is a percentage based on the estimated 1,340 respondents, while “Total No. of Responses” is a percentage based on the sum of the “Total” column. The former provides a snapshot of the proportion of people who selected a particular response, while the latter provides a snapshot of the priority of a particular response relative to other responses.

- Provision of Additional Parks, Sports Fields and Trails Were Most Important to Participants.** When asked to identify the two most important things the City could do to improve its parks, recreation programs, facilities and trails, 41% desired more parks and sports fields, and 37% desired building more trails. As noted in Figure 4, pop-up participants also indicated their support for a multi-purpose recreation center and protection or restoration of natural areas. This aligns closely with responses to other questions. Full responses to this question are provided in Table 3.

Figure 4: Most Important Improvements to the System



Note: Percentages are based on the estimated total of pop-up respondents.

Table 3: What is the most important thing the City could do to improve Tualatin's park, recreation programs, facilities, and trails system?

Activity	Total	Total No. of Respondents	Total No. of Responses
Provide more parks and sports fields	549	41%	25%
Build more trails/connect the trail system	494	37%	22%
Build a multi-purpose recreation center	360	27%	16%
Protect or restore natural areas	349	26%	16%
Repair or replace worn or older park features	196	15%	9%
Add a greater variety of recreation facilities in parks	96	7%	4%
Offer more or different recreation programs	95	7%	4%
Remodel or refresh existing recreation buildings	93	7%	4%
Other	3	0%	0%

Note: "Total No. of Respondents" is a percentage based on the estimated 1,340 respondents, while "Total No. of Responses" is a percentage based on the sum of the "Total" column. The former provides a snapshot of the proportion of people who selected a particular response, while the latter provides a snapshot of the priority of a particular response relative to other responses.

Figure 5: Example of Pop-Up Activity Board (1/4).



Tell us what you think!


Tualatin is creating a master plan for parks, recreation and trails. Help us decide what to improve and provide in the next 20 years!

Tualatin está creando un plan maestro para parques, recreación y senderos. ¡Ayúdanos a decidir qué mejorar y proporcionar en los próximos 20 años!

¡Dinos qué piensa!

How important are parks, recreation programs, events and trails to Tualatin's quality of life?

(Please place a dot on the scale to represent your opinion.)



¿Qué tan importantes son los parques, programas recreativos, eventos y senderos para la calidad de vida de Tualatin?

(Por favor coloque un punto en la escala para representar su opinión.)

Figure 6: Example of Pop-Up Activity Board (2/4).



TUALATIN

Accessible, Inclusive & Vibrant
Parks and Recreation





How often do you visit or use the following areas in Tualatin?

(Answer once for each location)

¿Con qué frecuencia visita o utiliza las siguientes áreas en Tualatin?

(Responda una vez para cada ubicación)

	FRECUENTEMENTE FREQUENTLY	A VECES SOMETIMES	RARAMENTE RARELY	NUNCA NEVER
Small parks in my neighborhood (Lafky or Stoneridge Park) <i>Pequeños parques en mi barrio</i>				
Large neighborhood parks (Ibach, Attafali or Jurgens park) <i>Grandes parques de barrio</i>				
Community Parks (Tualatin Community Park, Brown's Ferry, Tualatin High School Turf Field) <i>Parques comunitarios</i>				
Tualatin Commons				
Trails and Natural Areas (Tualatin River Greenway, Little Woodrose, Sweek Pond) <i>Senderos y áreas naturales</i>				
Tualatin Public Library <i>Biblioteca Pública de Tualatin</i>				
The Juanita Pohl Center, Van Raden Community Center, or Heritage Center				

Figure 7: Example of Pop-Up Activity Board (3/4).



TUALATIN

Accessible, Inclusive & Vibrant
Parks and Recreation





What activities would you like to see more of in Tualatin?

(Choose 3)

Walking/biking Caminar / andar en bicicleta	Fitness, wellness and exercise Fitness, bienestar y ejercicio
Indoor recreation programming and life-long learning classes Programación de recreación interior y clases de aprendizaje permanente	Large community events (e.g., Pumpkin Regatta, ArtSplash, concerts) Grandes eventos comunitarios (Pumpkin Regatta, ArtSplash, conciertos)
River activities (e.g., fishing, kayaking, swimming) Actividades fluviales (por ejemplo, pesca, kayak, natación)	Playing in interactive, unique play areas Jugar en áreas de juego interactivas y únicas
Social gatherings (picnics, parties, etc.) Reuniones sociales (picnics, fiestas, etc.)	Wildlife viewing and interpreting nature Fauna e interpretación de la naturaleza
Making or admiring art (e.g., pottery, drawing, crafts) Hacer o admirar arte (por ejemplo, alfarería, pintura, dibujo, manualidades)	Adventure/challenge activities (e.g., rock climbing, zip lining) Actividades de aventura / desafío (por ejemplo, escalada en roca, tirolesa)
Sports Deportes	Library programs and activities Programas y actividades de la biblioteca
After school and summer camps Después de clases y campamentos de verano	Other Otro

(Elige 3)

¿Qué actividades le gustaría ver más en Tualatin?


Figure 8: Example of Pop-Up Activity Board (4/4).



**What is the most important thing the City
could do to improve Tualatin's park, recreation
programs, facilities and trails system?
(Choose 2)**

Provide more parks and sports fields Proporcionar más parques y campos deportivos	Protect or restore natural areas Proteger o restaurar áreas naturales	Offer more or different recreation programs Ofrecer más o diferentes programas de recreación
Repair or replace worn or older park features Reparar o reemplazar las características gastadas o antiguas del parque	Add a greater variety of recreation facilities in parks Añadir una mayor variedad de instalaciones recreativas en los parques	Build more trails / connect the trail system Construir más senderos / conectar el sistema de senderos
Build a multi-purpose recreation center Construir un centro de recreación polivalente	Remodel or refresh existing recreation buildings Remodelar o renovar edificios recreativos existentes	Other (write your own comment) Otro (escriba su comentario)

**¿Cuál es la cosa más importante que la Ciudad podría
hacer para mejorar el parque de Tualatin, los programas
de recreación, las instalaciones y el sistema de senderos?
(Elige 2)**



APPENDIX C:

Focus Group Summary



PARKS & RECREATION MASTER PLAN

APPENDIX C: FOCUS GROUP SUMMARY

During August and September 2017, a series of focus groups were conducted as part of the Needs Assessment to update the City of Tualatin’s updated Parks & Recreation Master Plan. These small-group guided discussions allowed the planning team to learn more about the specific needs for parks, recreation facilities, trails, and programs as identified by different stakeholder groups. General discussion topics included favorite park or recreation opportunities in Tualatin, areas for improvement or expansion, and participants’ vision and priorities for parks and recreation. However, different questions were asked of these groups to allow them to identify and discuss detailed priority needs.

City staff facilitated and recorded feedback at eight of 11 focus groups. The Aging Task Force, business group and youth sports group meetings were facilitated by the consultant team at MIG Inc. Participants were selected by City staff to represent the widest possible cross section of Tualatin’s interests and stakeholder groups.¹ A total of 11 focus groups were held with 76 total individuals participating:

Group	Date	Participants
Aging Task Force	9/11/2017	9
Tualatin Arts Advisory Committee	8/15/2017	7
Local Businesses	9/15/2017	4
Citizen Involvement Organization	9/13/2017	4
Tualatin Historical Society	9/5/2017	8
Juanita Pohl Center Advisory Committee	9/20/2017	6
Tualatin Planning Commission	9/5/2017	8
Tualatin Tomorrow Advisory Committee	9/6/2017	5
Youth Advisory Committee	9/14/2017	11

¹ A separate bilingual effort was conducted with members of Tualatin’s Hispanic/Latino community. The Hispanic/Latino Focus Group Summary is found in Appendix D.

Group	Date	Participants
Youth Sports Group	8/23/2017	10
Tualatin Library Advisory Committee	9/6/2017	4
Total Respondents		76

This document provides a summary of the feedback collected from these meetings.

Community Feedback

The following topics emerged as most prominent across the different focus group discussions:

- **Popularity of Parks and Trails:** Though a wide range of improvements and enhancements were suggested, participants were generally enthusiastic about the quality of parks and especially trails throughout Tualatin. For the most part, parks and facilities are seen as well distributed throughout the City, though gaps in park service such as Pony Ridge were identified. Participants noted the popularity of features such as sports fields, the dog park, trails, and play equipment.
- **Desire for Amenities:** Across the various focus groups, participants consistently noted the need for more (and sometimes improved) amenities at parks. These improvements included benches, bathrooms, shade, picnic tables, and BBQs. Regarding benches, participants emphasized a need for seating closer to facilities and play equipment, as well as a preference for benches and/or activity tables that were oriented to encourage socializing, such as chess and picnic tables. Permanent lighting was also identified as a priority due to limited daylight hours, especially at sports fields where scheduling is already a challenge.
- **Desire for Outdoor Sports Opportunities:** Participants noted a desire for a greater access to and a variety of outdoor recreation activities. However, the capacity of sports fields and courts was repeatedly emphasized as inadequate across most focus groups. All-weather fields were noted as a potential solution to address maintenance challenges, since some fields are difficult to use during wet/muddy periods, further limiting capacity. Additional sports courts were also noted as a need.
- **Desire for Other Outdoor Facilities:** Participants strongly supported several options for outdoor facilities, especially those accessible in all weather conditions, year-round. For example, covered spaces in parks were noted as a possibility, particularly where this would facilitate community-oriented events. Some participants also noted a desire for facilities such as a skating rink, an amphitheater, and equipment for play and exercise, for users of all ages.
- **Mixed Views on Indoor Facilities:** Participants expressed mixed priorities about indoor spaces. The Juanita Pohl Center (JPC) was identified by participants as a valued but aging facility. At the same time, there was some concern that it is underutilized with its limited hours and perception as a seniors-only space. There was also concern that it does not provide the full range of indoor community spaces needed in Tualatin, such as adequate spaces for sports, exercise, learning, activities and social gathering. Some participants supported construction of a new center, while others advocated for improvements to the JPC such as expanded services and intergenerational programming. The Library is also valued, especially for providing multicultural and intergenerational programs and services, but it too has issues relating to capacity. Other indoor spaces, such as the Van Raden and Heritage Centers, were prominently discussed. Overall,

participants expressed interest in supporting both a community center and an indoor athletic facility.

- **Need for More Communication and Marketing:** Participants noted that better communication and more marketing from both the City and the Community Services Department about programs, services, and facilities are a priority. The overall sentiment was that people are missing out on opportunities because they are not aware of what is offered or available, and do not know who to contact, or how, to find the answers. Additionally, participants expressed a need to engage residents of diverse ages and cultures. Comments from participants indicated that a dual approach is desired – stronger, inclusive outreach for an ongoing, two-way dialogue with City officials, and a marketing or advertising oriented effort.
- **Value of Partnerships:** Participants identified several partnership opportunities to help expand the City’s park system and recreation services. They most frequently identified the Tigard-Tualatin School District as a potential partner, especially for sports fields, though challenges would exist due to different maintenance standards. Other partnership ideas involved public-private opportunities. These were noted not only to help finance facilities and amenities, but also as a means of providing services such as access to health and fitness opportunities. Finally, partnerships were identified as a strategy for enhancing cultural programming and outreach.
- **Development Standards:** Though participants referenced the need to acquire more land for parks and facilities, it was also noted that development standards are needed. This was identified as a way to ensure accessibility for different activities, ages, and abilities; equitable distribution of parks; and consistent maintenance. Particularly with multiple sports growing in popularity while field access is limited, standards that incorporate multi-use overlays were seen as desirable—if sufficient land could not be obtained for year-round, single-use sport fields.
- **Improved Connectivity:** Although existing trails are highly regarded by focus group participants, trail improvements are desired, such as ensuring that trails are lighted and surfaces are accessible for older adults. There was also a shared sentiment that expanding the trail system for cyclists and pedestrians is a priority to facilitate fitness, multi-modal transportation, and connections to adjacent communities. Shared-use paths were another connection noted that would improve safety and access to public transit, employment, retail facilities, and other destinations. Some participants suggested that a Tualatin-specific shuttle could help connect residents to City events and destinations while reducing traffic.
- **Culture and Inclusivity:** Participants in almost every group noted that the entire system should be accessible to all ages, abilities, and cultures. For example, intergenerational activities, amenities, and facilities were referenced frequently as a priority by different groups. Improved outreach to promote inclusion of Hispanic/Latino residents into city spaces and activities was also noted as a desired outcome. Artistic, historical, and cultural experiences, events, and opportunities were generally desired and supported by most participants to encourage socializing and enrichment.

Focus Group Highlights

This section briefly summarizes the key points noted in each particular focus group. It is organized alphabetically.


- **Aging Task Force:** Participants emphasized the needs and preferences of older adults, including a desire for multigenerational opportunities. Some expressed concern that the needs of older adults are not reflected in planning recommendations or actions. Playground and exercise equipment for

users of all ages and abilities was noted as desirable. A lack of benches or places to sit in parks and throughout the City was noted as a concern, as was the current maintenance of JPC. Sponsorships and partnerships were noted as specific recommendations for bench funding. Participants also generally expressed support for a new indoor community space.

- **Citizen Involvement Organization:** Participants in this group were generally enthusiastic about the range of parks in Tualatin. Gaps in the parks and trails system were noted, as were needs for sports fields and indoor or covered facilities. Opportunities for different types of partnerships were identified to provide services and engage/connect not only with diverse communities, but also with organizations and businesses.
- **Historical Society:** Participants identified the wide range of historical and interpretive features in Tualatin and at the Heritage Center. The group emphasized a desire to connect residents to the cultural elements of the City's past and present, particularly through education, interpretation, and dedicated museum space for these activities. Tourism and outreach were also noted as priorities.
- **Juanita Pohl Center Advisory Committee:** Participants were enthusiastic about the quality of parks in general, but focused many of their comments on JPC. Technology improvements were noted as a need, as was signage to help residents find the facility. Transportation services, such as a shuttle to special events, was identified as a potential improvement. Other priorities included more diverse programming, general updates to JPC, exercise equipment accessible for all ages and abilities, more community events, and partnerships for arts and culture.
- **Local Businesses:** Participants expressed enthusiasm for walking trails and the dog park, both of which are considered valuable for nearby workers. A representative for an assisted living facility also noted that accessible, connected paths are an asset for residents. Existing indoor facilities are considered ok but have limitations in terms of size, availability and technology. Concern was noted that new facilities, or renovations to existing ones, should not compete with private gyms or athletic clubs. Some priorities for this group included more parks and sports fields, better communication between the City, residents, and businesses, meeting spaces, and trail connectivity.
- **Planning Commission:** Participants primarily emphasized connectivity and safety through sidewalks, trails, and shared pathways, for both pedestrians and cyclists. Connecting this network to transit, employment, and other important destinations was identified as a priority. Sports fields of all types were also noted as a need.
- **Tualatin Arts Advisory Committee:** Participants made comments about a wide range of arts opportunities, especially those that relate to the City's social and natural history, as well as cultural heritage. Art as a branding element was noted multiple times, including gateway treatments, at public facilities, on utility boxes, and in parks. A performing arts center was identified as a potential improvement, in part to foster a climate for art-related businesses to locate in the City. Participants also noted that improvements to parks could incorporate artistic elements.
- **Tualatin Library Advisory Committee:** Participants focused most of their comments on programs and activities at the Library, but also noted opportunities such as dancing, playground equipment for adults, outdoor activities, trail connectivity, and places for activities like pick-up basketball games. Several comments highlighted the Library's unique position in the community as a nexus for intergenerational and intercultural activity. In general, participants supported expanding the Library's services and ensuring it stays relevant as times change.
- **Tualatin Tomorrow Advisory Committee:** Participants noted interest in an amphitheater, but discussion of indoor spaces focused on a multipurpose recreation center. A desired facility would

have meeting rooms, classroom spaces, and recreation amenities. Sports fields were noted as a need, particularly for youth sports. Design standards were mentioned as a need, in part to ensure that all communities and residents have access to parks and recreation opportunities. Lighting was also identified as valuable for both parks and trails.

- **Youth Advisory Committee:** Participants expressed support for the different events and camps hosted in Tualatin. Lack of lighting was noted as a concern at parks in general and events more specifically. Priorities included live music targeted to youth, more frequent events at the Commons, social activities for teens, and career/college fairs or planning opportunities.
- **Youth Sports Group:** Participants highlighted that sports fields tend to be relatively good quality, but that demand far exceeds supply, weather conditions reduce playability, a permanent lighting solution is needed, and better partnerships with the school district would help alleviate some issues. Indoor and all-weather outdoor spaces were both supported by participants, with some noting that a complex with both types of facilities could be ideal. Space for all athletes – youth, adults, organized teams, walk-ons, etc. – was noted as a priority.



APPENDIX D:

**Hispanic/Latino
Focus Group Summary**



PARKS & RECREATION MASTER PLAN

APPENDIX D: HISPANIC/LATINO FOCUS GROUP SUMMARY

On September 20, 2017, a focus group with members of the City of Tualatin's Hispanic/Latino community was held, as part of the Needs Assessment conducted to update the City's Parks & Recreation Master Plan. The purpose of this guided discussion was to identify participants' favorite park or recreation opportunities in Tualatin, areas for improvement or expansion and their vision and priorities for parks and recreation.

City staff – Sou Souvanny (Community Services), and Lauren Simon (Tualatin Library) – opened the meeting. Eduardo Corona, a regional community advocate and member of the MIG, Inc. consultant team, facilitated the meeting in Spanish. Nine individuals participated in the focus group. Participants included community leaders who were identified by City staff and local cultural organizations, and recruited by MIG at Hispanic/Latino recreation activities and community events. This document provides a summary of the feedback collected from the meeting. The last section includes the notes taken by City staff during the meeting in Spanish, and a summary of these notes in English.

Community Feedback

The following topics emerged as most prominent from the participants:

- **Parks are Popular Destinations, Especially for Families.** Participants indicated that they sometimes visit parks alone but usually visit with their children. Favorite activities include walking and informally playing sports such as soccer, basketball, and volleyball with family members. Atfalati Park was noted as a frequent destination, largely due to its proximity to participants' homes and their children's schools (and, more generally, the area most densely populated by Hispanic/Latino residents).
- **Safety is a Significant Concern at Parks.** Participants expressed apprehensions about parks. In particular, participants described drug-related activity and concerns that out-of-town visitors were causing problems. Some noted that walking at night was not possible because of the presence of unknown individuals. Stoneridge Park in particular was identified as a problematic location, with drugs, fighting, and a homeless population contributing to concerns. However, participants expressed uncertainty about how to contact law enforcement/authorities to address these types issues, or they did not feel comfortable doing so.
- **Community Outreach is Needed.** In several contexts, participants expressed that outreach from the City would help them participate more fully and feel more integrated in the community. For

example, there is a desire for more information not only about available activities and events, but also details about how to enroll in these programs. Information about program scholarships was also noted as a need.

- **Amenities and Maintenance at Parks are Lacking.** Bathroom cleanliness was noted as “terrible” at several parks, including Atfalati Park, Community Park, and Browns Ferry Park. Garbage and cleanliness was identified as an issue at some locations, with one participant noting that park cleanliness across the City was dependent on neighborhood. Improved maintenance was also identified as a priority. Comfort could be improved with better (and longer lasting) area lighting, benches, or other places to sit at some parks, and covered shelters for year-round gatherings.
- **Affordability is a Barrier to Participation in Recreation Activities.** Participants noted that many members of the local Hispanic/Latino community are low-income. Conversely, many sports programs are expensive and seen as “for rich people.” Scholarships are known to be available, but information is not easily found, and participant eligibility requirements are not seen as easy to meet. Low cost and free programs would facilitate greater participation, especially during the summer when parents are working and children are out of school.
- **Expanded Programs and Activities are Desired, Especially for Families.** Participants are eager for programs and activities for people of all ages, including those that reflect or celebrate Hispanic culture and heritage. For example, salsa and tropical music were identified as opportunities for events such as performances and dancing, but sustained outreach would help identify additional activities and options. Programs for older youth or younger adults were identified as currently lacking. As noted above, affordability would be a critical component of enhanced or increased programming.
- **New or Enhanced Facilities are Desired.** For participants, a cultural or community center was seen as an option that would bring people together while providing opportunities to participate in activities and host events. Along the same lines, rentable space for families and events was identified as a need. Although the Library was noted on several occasions as an example of a provider of quality programs for young people, all-age and year-round facilities that provide space for multiple uses were a priority. An aquatic facility was also identified as an option for youth activities and family gatherings. Aside from indoor facilities, existing fields were noted as inadequate to meet the community’s interest in soccer.

Focus Group Notes - Spanish

¿CUÁL ES SU ACTIVIDAD RECREATIVA O PARQUE FAVORITO EN TUALATIN? ¿POR QUÉ?

- Community Park, jugar voleibol. Me gusta jugar basketball. Con mi familia, jugamos el voleibol. Sola, juego el basketball. Tiene la arena, y área para niños
- Atfalati, más cerca. Sus niños le gustan ir a visitar este parque. Jugar con los niños. El voleibol, jugar con la raqueta.
- Atfalati. Es cercana. De la casa y la escuela. Hay campos de fútbol.
- Atfalati. Cercana. Seguro, limpio, con mucha gente, a conocerlos.
- Atfalati. Senderos. Donde se puede cambiar. Jugar basketball. Actividad favorita es caminar.

- Jurgens Park. Es normalmente vacío. Basketbol. Jugar con los niños. Al caminar en los ríos con sus niños.
- Community Park. Caminar. Easter Egg Hunts, actividades de la comunidad.
- Community Park. Viajar a trabajar por bicicleta. Browns Ferry Park. Es divertido a ir a jugar con los estudiantes de Bridgeport. Quiere ver más actividades en este parque.
- El agua, más actividades con sus hijas. Para andar en el parque, bicicleta.
- Sendero con los niños, se fueron de Tualatin a Tigard con un caminata. Le gusta ir con sus niños. Falta parte de la conexión.

¿CÓMO SE PODRÍAN MEJORAR LOS PARQUES, LAS INSTALACIONES RECREATIVAS, LOS SENDEROS O LAS ÁREAS NATURALES?

- En Atfalati, quiere ver más actividades para los hispanos. Más actividades culturales. Con la comunidad para que nos unamos. Atfalati es lo más cerca de la comunidad latina.
- Parque del camino, sembrar un árbol con los niños, ayudar a los actividades de los bosques.
- Más actividades en el parque de atfalati. Más cercana a la escuela. Más actividades baratas (asequibles) para los niños y familias. Necesario trabajo con familias de bajos recursos.
- Notas, las casitas, los baños, no están limpios, las canchas de fútbol no están disponibles todo el tiempo, las luces no funcionan, una liga de fútbol esta las canchas de futbol. Un lugar más grande para que los niños a correr. Un lugar a rentar cerrada para adaptar a la clima de Oregon (la lluvia). Quieren algo para los niños mayores.
- Donde abren los senderos, donde conectarse, muy peligrosos , super peligroso, refugio en el community park es terrible(baños), son terribles, Browns Ferry, son terribles los baños chale. En la casitas – parque de la ciudad (stoneridge), parece abandonado, --no parece como parte de la ciudad
- Su niña viene de Beaverton a usar los areas de fútbol
- Luces de caminar, muy oscura, se apagan muy temprano de la noche.(cual parque?), Ibach. Vive a lado del high school, se ve más grande. Se puede usar el parque alla para arrendar. La liga de fútbol adentro es muy caro. Para mantenerlo ser
- Mucha basura, actividades de la biblioteca, actividades de la cultura, reforzar a la actividad educativa, ciencias, mantenimiento, líderes o los voluntarios para cuidar los parques. Una persona a repasar los parque, un reporte.
- Se ve muy intimidada para no usar la liga, renta los espacios a los ligas, doscientos de dólares, los parques se ve más una instalación lucrativo de vez en cuando. Se ve como no es abierto a todos. Para la comunidad latina, los deportes son como una puerta de integrar, hacer un equipo para representar a la ciudad de Tualatin. Muchas personas no saben cómo usar/meterse en la liga, como hacer estas cosas. Este acceso a cómo usarlas es muy importante. Primerament a soccer (futbol) seria el primer paso a involucrar más a la comunidad. La mayoría de la población es primera generación. No se las usan los áreas o las gancha.
- 18 años viviendo aquí. El parque en el área de juegos infantiles, hay drogas, falta seguridad, no se puede ir a caminar durante de la noche porque hay varones, no es bueno para los niños más pequeños.

- El deporte puede promover la prevención de las pandillas.
- Tenemos miedo. Hay personas que llegan de otros lugares, que no se conoce, venden coches o drogas. Quiere más seguridad. Una cancha de basquetbol en la calle es peligrosa. Es difícil encontrar un lugar para sentarse durante los fines de semana.
- Tres. Como residente de Tualatin, soy madre, compré un lugar (propietario de casa), negociante. El parque mejorará si se mirara como vivo, se ve como muerto. Viejo, feo. Mejor diseño. Que en la misma ciudad, como ustedes mismos, arreglan el parque, exigen a la policía que dan las rutas/una vez a la hora. Como propietaria, más limpieza. Se enseña a sus niños a cómo limpiar su casa, tiene que. Depende donde vive en la ciudad para ver dónde está limpio.
- Investigar a como llamar a la policía, no sabe si existe como este servicio (para quitar basura), mas limpieza, a hablar con los autoridades que necesitan. No hay alcances a la comunidad, no hablar
- Atfalati, casitas (covered areas) para hacer un evento en este parque. Faltan una casita para hacer.
- Todos las casitas tiene que remodelar. Los baños (TERRIBLES).
- Un área de agua. (Para recreación acuática como piscinas etc)

¿QUÉ GRUPOS NECESITAN MÁS O MEJORES PROGRAMAS Y ACTIVIDADES RECREATIVAS?

- No hay nada para hacer en el parque de atfalati. No hay actividad para los niños de todos los niños. Un lugar a dónde. No puede ponerlos en un club de fútbol. No saben acerca de las becas para los programas. Comunicación.
- La biblioteca tiene muchas actividades en atfalati, pero necesitan mas.
- La mayoría de las becas son par alas los que ganan muy poco. Si hay becas pero no califiques, más accesibles a la comunidad. Otra idea para buscar grants, colaborativo con las escuelas. No hay muchas conexiones con la biblioteca ni el distrito escolar.
- Les gustan tener un jardín comunitario en atfalati, o en las casitas. Los que viven en los departamentos o las casitas no tienen espacio para tener un jardín.
- Necesitamos más actividades para los niños de la segunda edad.
- Mas actividades para los adolescentes. Boys and Girls Club. Los niños más involucrada, menos problemas.
- Alguno actividad.
- Un centro comunitario de Tigard Tualatin
- Más comunicación con el distrito escolar.
- Friday Music, música los viernes. No hay mucha diversidad de la música. Salsa. Musica tropical. Le gustan bailar. Incluir varios grupos de música. Actividades de adultos. Eventos para los adultos (30+). Para que los adultos esten más involucrados. Baile. Zumba. Gimnasio. Yoga. Actividades para la tercera edad.
- Más actividades diversas. Mantenerse actividades para todo el año. Con nuestra clima.

- Muy caro para pagar un club, daycare, niñera. No debe ser un impedimento de participar. Los horarios son muy raros. Familias Latinas las dos personas tiene que trabajar. Tiene que tener una liga de fútbol para los niños coordinado con la escuela. 3,300. Dos becas para Latinas en Browns Ferry, las becas existen, pero no hay un programa de regalar. Son para niños ricos. Tenemos una variedad de personas económicas. Es muy dispar.
- Bibliotecas tiene muchas actividades para niños y jóvenes, pero también el parque lo puede ofrecer.
- Pacific Islanders están creciendo mucho de la comunidad.
- Actividades para las vacaciones. Voluntarios. Algo a enfocarse. Ser voluntarios para no estar pensando tantas cosas malas. Después.
- Durante el verano, grupos voluntarios. Grupos 8+15 son los más importantes. Los más grandes pueden ayudar a cuidar a los niños pequeños.
- A cuidar a los niños.
- Centro cerrado para más edades.

¿CUÁL ES LO MÁS IMPORTANTE? A EMPEZAR YA.

- Los baños. Actividades en el verano. La limpieza de los parques.
- Seguridad.
- Seguridad en Stoneridge. Drogas, peleas. “Nido de vagabundos”
- Comunicación, conocer lo que hay.
- Centro cultural como la en Hillsboro.
- Mantenimiento de los parques.
- Mas actividades económicas para los niños durante el verano. Accesibles.
- Centro cultural, no se pueden hacer actividades
- Clases de natación. Actividades donde las pueden ir juntas. Toda la familia.

INDOOR ACTIVITIES.

- Comunidad parque, centro cultural. Un lugar donde se une a la comunidad hispana. La prioridad de unir la comunidad. No conocen a todas las comunidad.

PRIORIDADES:

Hoja 1

- Parques y centro comunitario
- Seguridad
- Limpieza
- Reunión informativa (servicios, horarios)
- Fondo para actividades

Hoja 2

- Comunicacion entre Tigard-Tualatin
- Rentar el “Senior Center” para cumpleaños
- Mejor iluminación
- Banos mas limpios
- diferentes actividades para ninos
- Jardines comunitarios
- Mantenimiento a todos los parques
- Ideal: un Centro Comunitario
- Nombre, actividad, expectativa
- (Ilegible) (Ilegible)

Hoja 3

- Me gustaría que los parques tuvieran más canchas de todos tipos, porque, siempre están rentadas, y nunca puedes usarlas, especialmente las canchas de soccer
- Por favor no se olviden del parque de las casitas, necesita mucha vigilancia, especialmente los niños y adolescentes por las drogas que se venden

Hoja 4

- Tualatin HS
- Ibach walk
- mas mas
- Para jóvenes Adultos
- Deportes y actividades para cad edad

Focus Group Notes - English Summary

WHAT IS YOUR FAVORITE RECREATIONAL ACTIVITY OR PARK IN TUALATIN? WHY?

- Community Park:
 - Sports: volleyball and basketball
 - Spend time with family, play in the children’s area
 - Easter egg hunts, community activities
 - Walking, commute to work on bicycle
- Atfalati Park
 - Closest to home and school
 - Sports: basketball, volleyball, soccer
 - Space to play with the kids
 - Safe, clean, lots of people to socialize with
- Jurgens Park
 - Sports: Basketball
 - Playing with the kids
 - Walking along Tualatin River with the kids

- Brown's Ferry Park
 - Fun to play with Bridgeport students, more activities desired here
- General
 - Water activities, walking, biking, hiking
 - Desire more activities with the kids
 - Hiked from Tualatin to Tigard with the kids but part of the route was incomplete

HOW COULD PARKS, RECREATIONAL FACILITIES, TRAILS, OR NATURAL AREAS BE IMPROVED?

- More cultural activities for Hispanics at Atfalati Park since it is geographically closest to the community, opportunity to unite community
- Safe and connected routes to parks
- Opportunities for tree planting and other conservation activities
- More affordable activities for low-income families and their children
 - Soccer league too expensive for some families
 - Confusion about cost to rent facilities
- At some parks, shelters and bathrooms are not clean, soccer fields are not available all the time, the area lighting does not work, trails can be dangerous (at trailheads and trail connections), maintenance concerns, etc.
 - Gangs and drug-related activities are a concern
 - Community Park: issues with small shelters/bathrooms
 - Brown's Ferry Park: issues with bathrooms
 - Ibach Park: the area lighting is not working, it is very dark, the lights are shut off very early
 - Stoneridge Park: concerns about drugs in the children's play area, lack of safety, concerns about walking at night, perception it is not a good place for younger children
 - Perception that the level of maintenance and cleanliness depends on neighborhood
 - Potential need for more police patrols at parks
- Need for soccer fields, bigger place for kids to run
- Need activities for older kids (cultural, educational, science, etc.)
- Need for enclosed/covered spaces that can be rented year-round
 - Atfalati Park noted as a good place for shelters or covered areas to have events
- Desire for an aquatic facility
- Need for outreach from the City to the Hispanic community
 - Uncertainty about participation, how to get involved, how to enroll in leagues, etc.
 - Uncertainty about contacting law enforcement
 - Uncertainty about City services, such as who removes garbage from the streets and how to report concerns about parks
 - Uncertainty about how to talk to authorities when needs are not being met

WHICH GROUPS NEED MORE OR BETTER PROGRAMS AND RECREATIONAL ACTIVITIES?

- Atfalati Park
 - Activities for children
 - More Library programs
 - Community garden
- Funding
 - More scholarships for low-income participants
 - Seek grants
 - Partnerships with schools, especially for sports
 - Concerns about disparity in income in the community
 - Lack of free programs
 - Clubs too expensive
- Communication
 - More information about scholarships
 - More open channels to the Library and school district
- Teens and older children
 - More activities to get involved and stay out of trouble
 - Boys and Girls Club
 - Holiday volunteer activities
 - Summer opportunities, especially for ages eight to 15
 - Library has activities and parks could do the same
- Community center
 - Potential to partner with Tigard
 - Fitness opportunities
- Pacific Islander population is growing
- More diverse activities
 - Salsa and tropical music, opportunities to dance
 - Adult (30+) activities and events
 - Zumba, fitness, yoga
 - Activities for seniors
- Year-round opportunities, adapted to weather

WHAT IS THE MOST IMPORTANT THING? TO START NOW.

- Cleanliness and maintenance: parks and bathrooms
- Summer activities, especially low-cost opportunities
- Safety: more security in Stoneridge (address drugs, fighting, homelessness)
- Cultural center for activities (Hillsboro noted as an example)

- Information about programs and services: what is available?
- Aquatics
- Family activities and events

INDOOR ACTIVITIES

- Community/cultural center, place where the Hispanic community could come together and also meet other communities in Tualatin

PRIORITIES:

- Parks
- Community center
- Safety, better lighting
- Cleanliness and maintenance
- Information (services, schedules): NextDoor.com, information online
- Activity funding
- Better communication
- Unifying the community
- Community gardens
- Different activities for children
- Rentals at the Juanita Pohl Center for family-oriented events like birthdays



{ APPENDIX E: }

Stakeholder Interview Summary



PARKS & RECREATION MASTER PLAN

APPENDIX E: STAKEHOLDER INTERVIEW SUMMARY

During August 2017, a series of interviews with elected and administrative stakeholders was held as part of the Needs Assessment conducted to update the City of Tualatin's Parks & Recreation Master Plan. The purpose of the interviews was to gain insights from elected officials and administrators into the opportunities and challenges the Master Plan should address. Discussions were oriented around specific questions but stakeholders were encouraged to share their insights about the planning process. The interview responses, in coordination with other community engagement activities, will inform the development of the Master Plan.

Cindy Mendoza of MIG, Inc., conducted seven individual interviews, one of which was over the phone. Interview participants were:

- Lou Ogden, Mayor (8/15/2017)
- Joelle Davis, City Council President (8/18/2017)
- Jeff Dehaan, Councilor (8/15/2017)
- Robert Kellogg, Councilor (8/15/2017)
- Paul Morrison, Councilor (8/18/2017)
- Frank Bubenik, Councilor (8/28/2017)
- Ernie Brown, TTSD Superintendent (8/18/2017)

This document provides a summary of the feedback that collectively emerged from these interviews. Feedback is organized around common topics or themes.

QUESTIONS

Interviews were structured around nine questions. Since stakeholders had the opportunity to discuss whatever aligned with their own insights and interests, not all questions were asked or answered in every interview. The following questions, however, were introduced to guide the conversations:

- What do people value most about parks and recreation in Tualatin?
- How do parks, natural areas, trails, recreation programs, and events help address critical City or community issues and needs?
- Are improvements in parks, facilities, or recreation services needed? If yes, what?

- Are there any groups in need of more or better services (ages, cultures, interests, abilities, skill levels)?
- What are the biggest opportunities for Tualatin parks and recreation in the future?
- From your perspective, what should be the City's top funding priorities for providing and managing parks, natural areas, and recreation opportunities?
- What do we need to know to gain community support for this plan?
- What partners or stakeholders should be involved in working with the City to carry out plan recommendations?
- Is there anything else you would like to share?

Stakeholder Feedback

The following topics and themes emerged as most prominent during the interviews:

- **Value of Parks.** All stakeholders identified parks as a major resource for Tualatin that contribute to community livability. Larger, multi-purpose sites such as Atfalati Park, Tualatin Community Park, and Ibach Park are perceived as most valuable because they offer opportunities for recreation, play, and access to the Tualatin River, nature, and trails; are well-maintained; and reflect community character.
- **Major Facilities.** Stakeholders noted that a range of facilities are needed to meet community needs and desires. These included sports fields, courts, and/or a tournament complex, a community center or similar multi-functional indoor space, a makerspace, a civic center campus, event/rental venue, and a new Basalt Creek park. Stakeholders also noted that support for the different facilities varies in the community depending on an individual's priorities, but there was generally consensus that more or expanded opportunities and improvements are warranted. The Library was specifically noted as a resource.
- **Trail Connectivity:** Trails were noted as a popular feature, but there was generally agreement among stakeholders that improved connectivity is needed. This was noted as beneficial for increasing regional connectivity and providing active recreation opportunities. Some stakeholders identified the need for trail amenities such as benches and ensuring that trails are accessible to people of all ages and abilities.
- **Communication:** Participants noted that better communication between the City in general (not specifically Community Services Department) and residents is a priority. This is especially true when new City ideas or projects are being developed, and, if a funding measure is pursued, to help provide clarity about benefits and costs while gaining a better understanding of community needs. Several stakeholders noted challenges associated with effective outreach to the Hispanic/Latino community.
- **Partnerships:** Stakeholders noted that partnerships are critical to the success of the park and recreation system. A recurring theme was the opportunity to increase sports field capacity through partnerships, with the Tigard-Tualatin School District a frequently noted partner. Other ideas included opportunities for natural resource preservation and education with Tualatin Riverkeepers and suggestions for increasing volunteerism for park maintenance.

- **Demographic Shifts:** Tualatin is changing, with older adults, people with disabilities and the Hispanic/Latino populations growing in numbers. With these changes, stakeholders noted a need for the City to adapt and provide programs and services relevant and accessible to these populations. However, there is still a strong need for youth and adult services/programming.
- **Access to Nature/Natural Resources:** Stakeholders identified multiple opportunities to increase residents' access to nature through trails, easements and other means. At the same time, it was noted that there is a local emphasis on protecting natural areas for education, interpretation and access rather than investing more into natural resources for their ecological value.
- **Maintaining and Enhancing Character:** Multiple stakeholders noted the value of Tualatin's unique character, particularly in its parks. The park system conveys the City's heritage with information about topics such as American Indian history and ferry/steamboat history, providing opportunities to develop parks around these themes. The parks' natural attributes are another component of character. Combined, these elements help create a sense of place and community.
- **Vision for the Future:** According to stakeholders, Tualatin tends to have grand visions for a city of its size. This creates both challenges and opportunities. Moving forward, there is a need to balance vision with pragmatism to get project support and approval. Stakeholders hope to see a Master Plan that balances short-term implementation needs with the community's longer-term vision and goals.



{ APPENDIX F: }

Park Walk Summary



PARKS & RECREATION MASTER PLAN

APPENDIX F: PARK WALK SUMMARY

During September 2017, a series of park walks were held to identify needed improvements at key park sites, as part of the Needs Assessment conducted to update Tualatin's Parks & Recreation Master Plan. City of Tualatin staff invited park users, nearby neighbors, and stakeholders to tour the parks and document what they liked, what they want improved, and/or what opportunities they see at specific park sites. Each participant was provided with a worksheet to write or draw their answers to questions about the park (Figure 1). They were also encouraged to take photos or be photographed in park locations that emphasized key comments (Figure 2).

Five park walks were scheduled for September 16, 2017. The City advertised the park walks on the project webpage, Facebook, Twitter, and Nextdoor, and information was included with the project newsletter for those that signed up on the project webpage. Dates and times for the park walks were also included on the project newsletter that was sent to the email distribution list. Park walks were scheduled for the following locations:

- Ibach Park
- Jurgens Park
- Tualatin Community Park
- Atfalati Park
- Browns Ferry Park

However, poor air quality due to local forest fires and other factors likely affected attendance. Six participants attended the first two walks and no participants attended the last three. This document provides a summary of the combined feedback collected at Ibach Park and Jurgens Park.

WORKSHEET QUESTIONS

The worksheet included the following questions:

- What do you like about this park or trail?
- What would make you use this site more often?
- What don't you like about this park or trail?
- How did you get here today? (Participants were asked to circle one of walk, bus/shuttle, bike, skate/scooter, or car.)

PHOTO ACTIVITY

Participants were provided with two sheets for the photo activity, with the following language:

- This is what I love about this park.
- This is an opportunity for improvement.

Participants were encouraged to take a photo with the sheets at sites in the parks that represented each sentiment. Five individuals took a total of 29 photos of various park elements.

Community Feedback

Due to the few participants at the park walks, broad conclusions cannot be drawn from the feedback. However, comments about the two sites are still valuable. The following information was collected from participants at Ibach Park and Jurgens Park:

- Participants at both sites appreciated that the parks were generally well maintained. However, park components like water-play features and garden boxes were identified as needing improvements and/or maintenance at both parks.
- Participants noted that trails are quiet and well maintained, but more trail connections are desired at both parks.
- New or improved general play elements are desired at both parks, with interactive elements specifically noted as a deficiency at Jurgens Park.
- Better bathrooms were noted as an element that would increase visitation at Jurgens Park.
- Of the 29 photos taken during the walks, five included what participants loved about parks, and 20 identified opportunities for improvement. This suggests that for these participants, parks are not fulfilling their full potential or desired uses.
- At Ibach Park, one participant's photos conveyed his love of the trails (Figure 8), the tree canopy, and benches for relaxing and watching activities in the park. He also identified a range of improvement opportunities, including better drainage at the water feature (Figure 6), trail connectivity, improved parking, and safety/lighting of trails.
- At Jurgens Park, participants took photographs to show their love for river access and plant variety (Figure 5). They also took photos showing opportunities to fix elements like fences, improve plant maintenance and overall drainage (Figure 4), provide amenities such as benches and trash cans, improve ADA accessibility to the riverfront (Figure 3), develop City-owned land, enhance wetlands (Figure 7), and make dog-related improvements (such as providing doggie bags).

Figure 1: Park Walk Worksheet



Figure 2: Photo Activity Prompts



Figure 3: Photo Activity Response (1/6)



This participant is identifying an opportunity to improve riverfront access at Jurgens Park for people with disabilities.

Figure 4: Photo Activity Response (2/6)



This participant is identifying an opportunity for improved maintenance and plant care at Jurgens Park, through mulching.

Figure 5: Photo Activity Response (3/6)



This participant is identifying her love of the variety of vegetation at Jurgens Park.

Figure 6: Photo Activity Response (4/6)



This participant is identifying an opportunity for improved drainage at Ibach Park.

Figure 7: Photo Activity Response (5/6)



This participant is identifying an opportunity for enhanced wetlands at Jurgens Park.

Figure 8: Photo Activity Response (6/6)



This participant is identifying his love of the trails at Ibach Park where he runs, while also noting the opportunity to improve trail connectivity.



PARKS & RECREATION MASTER PLAN

PARKS, FACILITIES, PROGRAMS, AND ART NEEDS ANALYSIS PRELIMINARY FINDINGS

Introduction

In 2017, the City of Tualatin began updating its Parks & Recreation Master Plan (Master Plan) to identify the community's vision and aspirations for developing, enhancing, and maintaining the parks and recreation system. As part of the Needs Assessment, the project team conducted a preliminary analysis of the City's existing parkland, recreation facilities, trails, programs, and public arts to identify needs for the future. This information will be discussed with the Project Advisory Committee (PAC) and compared to public outreach findings to identify needs.

The document presents a series of analysis maps, tables, and research—along with overarching key findings—for discussion at the December 5, 2017 PAC meeting. The analysis elements include:

- *Map 1: Existing Parks, Natural Areas, and Recreation Resources*
- *Table 1: City of Tualatin Existing Parks, Natural Areas, and Recreation Resources Inventory*
- *Table 2: Tigard-Tualatin School District Facility Inventory*
- *Map 2: Park and Recreation Service Area (2035)*
- *Research: Future Expansion Areas*
- *Table 3: Existing Parkland Level of Service and Needs by Classification*
- *Map 3: Park Distribution and Access*
- *Map 4: Existing, Planned, and Proposed Trails*
- *Table 4: Existing Facilities Level of Service and Needs by Category*
- *Map 5: Indoor Facility Distribution*
- *Map 6: Sports Field Distribution*
- *Map 7: Riverfront Facilities and Access*
- *Table 5: City of Tualatin Recreation Programs and Events by Program Service Area*
- *Research: Recreation Programming and Arts Participation (Tables 6-10)*

- *Table 11: Tualatin Local Resources Review*

Note that the parkland and program inventories were updated since they were presented at the September meeting. The newer versions are presented in this packet.

The analysis not only focuses on specific needs, but it evaluates the standards, guidelines, and data-tracking processes currently used to make decisions regarding what and how much parks and recreation opportunities to provide. This analysis helps ensure that appropriate metrics are set in the Parks and Recreation Master Plan to help the City meet future needs. As referenced below, Tualatin's existing standards for parkland were set in the 1991 Park System Development Charges findings and the 1983 Parks and Recreation Master Plan.

While this analysis focuses on needs to enhance or expand the park and recreation system, it presumes that the City will continue to address needs to maintain and sustain existing resources, which includes parks, facilities, natural areas, trails, and greenways.

2035 Parkland Needs

The existing park and recreation system (Map 1) serves a current population of 26,840 residents and an estimated 29,506 employees (2016 data). The City provides 316.14 acres of parks, greenways, natural parks and areas, and shared-used paths, as well as two joint-use facilities with the Tigard-Tualatin School District (Table 1). In addition to the facilities at these sites, the School District is an important provider of recreation space and facilities (Table 2).

The City of Tualatin is anticipated to grow to an estimated 29,950 residents by the year 2035 (the planning horizon for this plan). Employment estimates suggest that some 40,668 employees may work in the city then. As shown in Map 2, the City's land area is expected to grow by adding three future expansion areas: Basalt Creek Concept Plan Area, Northwest Plan Area, and Southwest Plan Area. As noted in the attached research on these expansion areas, park and open space opportunities in these areas are anticipated to include the following:

Basalt Creek Concept Plan Area: *The Basalt Creek Concept Plan Area (848 acres), located south of Tualatin, will be split between the cities of Tualatin and Wilsonville. Still under review and subject to change, the area is anticipated to be zoned Manufacturing Park (93 acres), Neighborhood Commercial (3 acres), and Residential (88 acres). Tualatin's portion of the plan is anticipated to include 184 developable acres, 575 households, and 1,929 jobs.*

Park space will be needed to serve the proposed residential population as well as employees in the area. If 575 households are added, then an estimated 1,466 residents may need parkland. If the City's current level of service and standards for developed parks is carried forward into the future, then a minimum of an additional 4.7 acres of parks would be needed. Total needs for parks, greenways, natural areas, and shared use paths together could create a need of 17.6 acres to serve residents in the Basalt Creek area (if the City opts to maintain its existing level of service, and depending on the actual number of houses built). This would account for some but not all the planned trails noted in the 2013 Basalt Creek Transportation Refinement Plan.

Northwest Plan Area: *The Northwest Plan Area (15 acres) is pre-zoned for industrial uses (General Manufacturing). The concept plan for the site does not call for any parks. However, there is an existing on-street Regional Corridor bike trail on 99W, and the planned 22-mile Ice Age Tonquin Trail will run through this area, connecting to Metro's currently undeveloped Heritage Pines Regional Park to the north, and eventually to the Westside Trail via a bridge across the Tualatin River.*

Southwest Plan Area: *The Southwest Tualatin Plan Area (614 acres) is pre-zoned for industrial uses, specifically for the Business Park (BP) zone, and will feature some retail uses. This planned area is also designated as a Regionally Significant Industrial Area (RSIA). As part of the RSIA designation, parklands are not allowed; however, new development is required to foster a campus-like setting. Trails are planned for this area in both the concept plan and Metro's Ice Age Tonquin Trail Master Plan.*

LEVELS OF SERVICE

Table 3 notes the City's Existing Parkland Level of Service and Needs by Classification. "Level of Service" (LOS) refers to the amount of parkland and facilities needed to serve the City's residential population. Parkland level of service is expressed as a ratio of the number of acres needed to serve 1,000 residents, expressed as acres per 1,000. The existing Level of Service (LOS) is based on the existing inventory of parks, greenways, natural parks and areas, and shared use paths by classification (Table 1).

Key findings include:

- The City provides a parkland Level of Service (LOS) of 11.8 acres per 1,000. The City standard of 9.35 acres per 1,000 was set for slightly different parkland categories in 1991.
- While the City exceeds the standard for total parkland, it is deficient in developed parks according to its own standards. The City provides 3.1 acres per 1,000, rather than its standard of 5.0 acres per 1,000 residents. However, it exceeds its current standard for greenways and for natural areas.
- If the City wants to maintain its existing Level of Service (LOS) as the City grows through 2035, approximately 37 additional acres of parkland would be needed. This includes approximately nine acres of parks, 15 acres of greenways, and nearly 13 acres of natural parks and areas. Community feedback, however, suggests that additional recreation opportunities are desired. More parkland may be needed to provide desired recreation opportunities, which would warrant changing these standards.
- Several additional opportunities and potential needs for future parkland have been identified. If the City believes that each of these needs should be addressed, this also would affect future parkland level of service standards.
 - Future parkland will be needed in the Basalt Creek Concept Area to meet the needs of new residents. There may be an opportunity to acquire additional land in this area to address the needs of underserved areas in South Tualatin and to address other citywide facility needs.
 - There is an opportunity to acquire parkland and expand some sites, such as Jurgens Park.
 - Meeting community needs for sports fields will require additional parkland and/or a partnership with the Tigard-Tualatin School District.
 - If the City considers a new multi-purpose recreation and arts center, potentially new land will be needed to support it.
 - The Tualatin River Greenway, greenways that align with creeks, and new trails outside of existing greenways will require easements and or land acquisitions.
 - There are several opportunities to acquire additional natural areas for protection, as noted in the expansion areas and on the Tualatin Development Code, Map 72-3.
 - As described in the next section, developed parks are not accessible within walking or biking distances of some residential areas. Creating an accessible system or nearby parks for all residents also increases land needs.

Key questions include:

- *PAC members identified competing funding priorities: increasing developed parks, providing greenways and trails, and protecting natural areas. Outreach participants noted additional desires for more parks and recreation opportunities in Tualatin. Since the City may not be able to afford everything that is desired, what level of service should the City should target?*
- *Are certain types of parkland acquisition more important than others? In other words, what should be the priority for parkland investment: developing active parks, providing greenway trail corridors, or others?*

Parkland and Trail Access

Another way to measure the amount of parkland provided is to consider the distribution of parks and trails to identify gaps in service and areas where access can be improved. Trails are considered in this regard as both a recreation feature, as well as avenues for active non-motorized transportation that improve bike and pedestrian access to other types of parkland, public facilities, neighborhoods, and commercial and industrial areas.

Map 3 illustrates the distribution of parks, including neighborhood parks, community parks, and special use sites such as Tualatin Commons. It shows areas that are generally served by existing parks within walking or biking distance, which is traditionally believed to be within $\frac{1}{4}$ or $\frac{1}{2}$ mile.

Map 4 illustrates the routing of existing, planned, and proposed trails in or near Tualatin. It reveals what would be an extensive network of interconnected local and regional trails, once all are developed over time.

Table 4 presents the City's existing guidelines and Level of Service (LOS) for different types of recreation facilities, including trails. The City's 1983 guidelines for trails were noted in three categories: hiking trails, bicycle trails, and multi-use trails. For each, the guideline is to provide one mile of trail for every 2,000 residents.

Key findings include:

- *There are several residential areas in Tualatin that do not have access to a developed park within $\frac{1}{2}$ mile of their home (about a 10-minute walk): most notably east Tualatin, south Tualatin, residential areas along Hwy 99 in northwest Tualatin (Pony Ridge), and areas west of I-5 in central to south Tualatin. Many more do not have access with $\frac{1}{4}$ mile of their home (about a 5-minute walk).*
- *The City does not track the provision of hiking trails and bicycle trails. Most of the City's trails are multi-purpose shared use paths. Even when counting all the City's trails in this category, the City has not met the standard of providing one mile per 2,000 residents.*
- *The City's trail standards are not aligned with the City's acreage standards. In other words, if the City tried to achieve its existing trail standards, it would need to acquire far more land for trail corridors than the greenway acreage standards suggest. The City's trail standards need to be reviewed and considered for a reset.*
- *Map 4 notes that there are far more planned and proposed trails than existing. Trail priorities need to be identified to guide land acquisition and development through 2035.*

Key questions include:

- *Should the City strive to provide nearby parks within walking/biking distance of residents? If yes, should that standard be based on a ¼ mile or ½ mile travel distance?*
- *Do residents need access within walking/biking distance to developed parks? Or is it sufficient to have access to a school, greenway or natural area within that distance?*
- *Should standard define what types of recreation opportunities are needed within the desired travel distance? For example, if access to a school, greenway or natural area is acceptable, should these areas be evaluated and potentially developed to provide close-to-home play opportunities?*
- *Recognizing that the amount of planned and proposed trails exceeds current standards, should the City increase its standards or identify top priorities to develop future trails? If so, what are those priorities (e.g., multi-use trails, riverfront trails, trails connecting existing parkland, regional trails)?*

Recreation Facilities

The desire for different types of recreation facilities is discussed in terms of facility needs. However, the amount and type of proposed facilities also affects land needs. Based on guidance from the PAC in September, the needs analysis considered the distribution of and access to indoor facilities (Map 5), sports fields (Map 6), and riverfront facilities (Map 7). A Level of Service (LOS) analysis was also conducted for the types of facilities noted in existing City facility guidelines (Table 4).

Key findings include:

- *The level of service standards noted in Table 4 are outdated and not customized to reflect the need of City residents. The City excels at designing parks that account for community needs. Through park master planning, it identifies needs for future facility development.*
- *The City provides more neighborhood/community centers than standards require. However, the City's existing neighborhood/community centers are not well-suited or developed to support their current uses, and nearly all of the buildings are located on the north side of the City. As echoed in public outreach, additional indoor recreation space is needed.*
- *Sports fields are distributed throughout the City, with most fields being overlays. As noted in Table 2 and Map 6, the School District has several fields that are not available full time for non-school use. There is not sufficient space in existing parks for substantial sport field expansion. Field needs will have to be addressed through various options, including improving existing City and school fields where possible and expanding the quantity of sports fields through land acquisitions.*

Key questions include:

- *Since the City identify facility needs through site master planning and design, does the City need level of service standards noted in Table 4, or should these be eliminated or adjusted?*
- *The current joint use agreement with the School District can be expanded to cover additional facilities, including sports fields. To what extent should joint use facilities be considered to meet needs? Is it a better investment to build City-owned sport and recreation programming space?*
- *The City has a variety of riverfront facilities. What should the priorities be along the Tualatin River: access to the river, viewing the river, or trails along the river?*

- *Do you think Tualatin needs one large indoor recreation center? Should it be located on the southern side of the City to improve access?*

Recreation Programming

The City of Tualatin provides 11 different types of recreation and Library programs, as noted in Table 5. Recreation participation, however, is tracked in three overarching service areas: Community Recreation Programs (Table 6), Library Programs (Table 7) and programs at the Juanita Pohl Center (Table 8). The City does not meet all programming needs alone. As noted in Table 11, the Tualatin Local Resources Review, a variety of other providers address needs ranging from aquatics to youth programs and health, wellness, and fitness.

Key findings include:

- *Most programs are provided in indoor facilities or in community/special use parks. While the City has tremendous acreage in greenways and natural areas, it infrequently uses these sites for programming. There is a strong opportunity to expand nature-based programming.*
- *The Library and the Juanita Pohl Center account for nearly two-thirds of all program participation in FY 2016-2017. These are major programming hubs.*
- *With 18,600 participants in one year, “special events” is the single largest program service area for the City. There are opportunities to expand recreation programs in other service areas to increase recreation participation and diversify opportunities.*
- *The City provides parks and facilities to facilitate recreation opportunities and services provided by others. These range from reservable rooms and shelters that serve well over 20,000 people each year. It also includes sports fields for league use, Heritage Center programs, and space at the Juanita Pohl Center for the Meals on Wheels Program, which served more than 18,000 people in FY 2016-2017.*

Key questions include:

- *Should the City continue to emphasize special events, older adult and senior programs at the Juanita Pohl Center, and Library programs? Or should the City expand and diversify recreation programming to better serve different ages and meet a variety of needs?*
- *If the City should expand and diversify programs, what program service areas are most important to increase the City’s level of service (e.g., Arts & Culture, Before and After School Youth Programs, Nature-Based Programming, Health and Fitness, Sports, etc.)?*
- *The City does not currently support a service area for Cultural Diversity, currently defined as events and activities, classes and bilingual programs that celebrate or promote other cultures. Should this be added to improve programs and services for all ethnic groups, but especially for Hispanic and Latino residents?*

Public Art

Building on the City's policies for supporting the arts, this needs analysis identified a classification system to categorize separately art displays versus arts programming. It summarized and evaluated the City's art inventory (Table 9). It assessed arts programming in the City's four programming categories noted in art policies (Cultural Programming, Educational Arts, Literary Arts and Media Arts), and added a fifth category (Fine Arts) based on the types of program offered (Table 10).

Key findings include:

- *The City has a large art collection, with most pieces consisting of prints, drawings, paintings, photographs, and other wall hangings documenting the social, built, and/or natural landscape of Tualatin. These are displayed on a rotating basis.*
- *The City integrated art—through sculpture, etchings, trail signage, play elements—into its parks, facilities, and public spaces. The Arts Advisory Committee feels there is a stronger need for on-site art, particularly interactive pieces that foster a stronger sense of appreciation. There is a strong opportunity to expand outdoor art.*
- *Arts programming is mainly focused on Cultural Programming, which includes performing arts such as dance, music, drama and events like ArtSplash, Movies on the Commons and Concerts on the Commons. This area accounts for approximately 85% of arts programming participation.*

Key questions include:

- *What is the vision for Tualatin's Public Art Program? Should the City continue to acquire art to display, or should it increase the amount of integrated, on-site art (or both if possible)?*
- *Should the City increase its resources and investment in art programming, and if so, in what ways? Should it emphasize the five different programming areas (Fine Arts, Cultural Programming, Educational Arts, Literary Arts and Media Arts) or simplify the categorization and focus efforts on the most popular programs to increase the numbers of people participating in the arts?*
- *The Library has been the lead on Makerspace activities. There is an opportunity to combine the City's interest in creating a Makerspace with its arts interests. This combination could support a greater investment in art technology, including computer animation, graphics, website development, music recording, etc. Is this a direction that the City should consider in the future?*

Next Steps


The preliminary findings presented here note a variety of needs and opportunities for Tualatin's future. The input and guidance from the PAC will help refine the discussion to focus on the greatest areas of community needs, to establish areas of emphasis for prioritizing development of facilities, programs, and services. This will also help establish appropriate guidelines and standards for the development of new parks and facilities, along with enhancements to existing sites and programs.

Input and guidance from the PAC will be used to refine the Needs Assessment findings. These findings and proposed standards and guidelines will be presented to City Council in early 2018 for their feedback, before developing plan recommendations.



NEEDS ASSESSMENT

Draft Analysis Elements





Parks & Recreation Master Plan

City of Tualatin

Map 1: Existing Parks, Natural Areas and Recreation Resources

Tualatin Parkland

- Parks
- Greenways
- Natural Parks & Areas
- Shared Use Paths
- School Joint-Use Facilities

Other Parks and Natural Areas

- Other Developed Parks and Recreation Areas
- Other Greenways and Natural Areas
- Tigard-Tualatin District Schools

Trails

- Shared Use Paths

Base Map Features

- Tualatin City Boundary
- County Boundary
- Freeways/Highways
- Major Arterials
- Local Streets
- Railroads
- Streams
- Rivers and Waterbodies



Date: October 2017

Sources: City of Tualatin, Parks and Recreation, 2017; Metro Regional Government, 2017; Oregon Spatial Data Library, State of Oregon, 2017.

Disclaimer: This map is derived from various digital database sources. While an attempt has been made to provide an accurate map, the City of Tualatin, OR assumes no responsibility or liability for any errors or omissions in the information. This map is provided "as is".




Table 1: City of Tualatin Existing Parks, Natural Areas & Recreation Resources Inventory

	Outdoor Recreation							Athletic Facilities					Trails/ Natural Features				Amenities				Specialized			Notes			
	Total Acres	Type	Play Features	Flexible Use Lawn Areas	Horseshoe Pits	Skate Park	Water Play/ Spray Feature	Rectangular Fields	Ball Fields	Basketball Courts	Tennis Courts	Pickleball Courts	Natural Areas & Wildlife Viewing	Trails	River Access	Interpretive Features	Barbeques	Dog Park	Covered Picnic Shelters	Restrooms	Picnic Tables	Art	Floating Dock/ Boat Ramp (non-motorized)		Kayak & Canoe Rentals	Special Use Buildings	
Parks																											
Atfalati Park	13.27	LNP	2	•				2	1	1	2		•			•				1	•						2 field overlay
Ibach Park	20.08	LNP	2	•			1w	2	1	1	2	4	•			•			2	1	•	•					Teen play equipment, 2 field overlay
Jurgens Park	15.59	LNP	2	•			1w	1	1	1	2	4	•	•	•	•			2	1	•	•	FD				Raised garden beds, 2 field overlay
Lafky Park	2.00	SNP	1	•							1			•							•						
Stoneridge Park	0.23	SNP	1	•																							
Tualatin Commons	4.83	SU		•			1s									•				1		•					Crawfish fountain splash pad
Tualatin Commons Park	0.64	SU		•												•						•					
Tualatin Community Park	27.11	CP	1	•	2	1	1w	2, 1 ^L	2, 1 ^L	2 ^L	2 ^L	6 ^L	•	•	•	•	3	1	4 ^R	1	•	•	BR		4		Community Services Administration Offices, Juanita Pohl Center, Lafky House, Van Raden Community Center, Motorized boat launch, Pickleball overlay on tennis courts, Pedestrian bridge, 3 field overlay
Total	83.75		9	8	2	1	4	7	5	6	8	14	4	3	2	6	3	1	8	5	5	5	2	0	4		
Greenways																											
Chieftain/Dakota Greenway	6.14	G											•	•													3525 ft trail
Hedges Creek Greenway	11.66	G											•	•		•											3795 ft trail
Helenius Greenway	0.43	G																									
Hi-West Estates Greenway	1.59	G												•													935 ft trail
Indian Meadows Greenway	3.82	G												•		•											1660 ft trail
Nyberg Creek Greenway	5.78	G											•	•													750 ft trail
Nyberg Creek (South) Greenway	2.30	G											•	•													1000 ft trail
Saum Creek Greenway	54.22	G												•													9435 ft trail
Shaniko Greenway	3.30	G											•	•													2284 ft trail
Tualatin River Greenway	30.39	G											•	•	•	•						•	BR		1		20721 ft trail, Boat ramp at 99W, Louis Walnut House (Riverkeepers)
Subtotal	119.63		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	9	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	
Natural Parks & Areas																											
Brown's Ferry Park	43.21	NP											•	•	•	•			1	1	•	•	FD	•	4		Community Center, shed, barn, apartment
Hedges Creek Wetlands Protection District	29.06	NA											•	•													
Hervin Grove Natural Area	0.29	NA																									
Johnnie and William Koller Wetland Park	15.32	NA											•														
Little Woodrose Nature Park	6.55	NP											•	•													
Saarinen Wayside Park	0.06	NP																									
Sequoia Ridge Natural Area	0.65	NA											•	•													
Sweek Ponds Natural Area	4.68	NA											•	•		•									1		Tualatin Heritage Center
Sweek Woods Natural Area	5.03	NA											•														
Victoria Woods Natural Area	2.22	NA											•	•													
Subtotal	107.07		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	6	1	2	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	5	
School Joint-Use Facilities																											
TuHS Leonard Pohl Field	0	JU						1																			
TuHS-Byrom Elementary Cross Country Running Trail	0	JU												•													
Subtotal	0		0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Shared Use Paths																											
65th Avenue Shared Use Path	0.47	SUP												•													717 ft trail
Boones Ferry Road Shared Use Path (Byrom Elementary to Arapaho Road)	0.41	SUP												•													907 ft trail
Byrom Elementary Shared Use Path (Martinazzi Ave. to Boones Ferry Rd.)	0.80	SUP												•													1565 ft trail
Cherokee Street Shared Use Path (108th Ave to Rail Road ROW)	0.09	SUP												•													200 ft trail
I-5 Shared Use Path (Warm Springs St. to Sagert St.)	1.54	SUP																									
Ice Age Tonquin Trail	2.38	SUP																									
Subtotal	5.69		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Grand Total	316.14		9	8	2	1	4	8	5	6	8	14	18	23	4	11	3	1	9	6	6	7	4	1	10		
CP- Community Park, LNP- Large Neighborhood Park, SNP- Small Neighborhood Park, SU- Special Use, SUP- Shared Use Path, NP- Natural Park, JU- Joint Use, G- Greenway, NA-Natural Area																											
R- Reserveable, L- Lighted, W- Low volume water interpretive, S- Splash Pad or Spray Feature, BR- Boat Ramp, FD- Fishing dock																											
Revised 10/5/17																											

Table 2: Tualatin School Facility Inventory

Schools	Outdoor Recreation		Outdoor Athletic Facilities					Trails	Indoor Facilities		
	Play Features	Flexible Use Lawn Areas	Rectangular Fields	Ball Fields	Basketball Courts	Tennis Courts	Track	Cross-country Trails	Gyms	Auditorium/Theater	Swimming Pool
Bridgeport Elementary School	•	1	1	2	1		•		1		
Byrom Elementary School	•	1		4	1			•	1		
Tualatin Elementary School	•		1		1				1		
Hazelbrook Middle School		1	1	2			•		2		
Tualatin High School			2	6		6	•	*	2	•	•

Within Tualatin City limits there are four school district service areas, a charter school, and several private schools. For the purposes of parks and recreation facilities, the City and nonprofit youth sports organizations have partnerships for public use with Tigard-Tualatin School District.



Parks & Recreation Master Plan

Map 2: Park and Recreation Service Area (2035)

Planning Areas

Southwest Plan Area

Northwest Plan Area

Basalt Creek Plan Area

Planning Districts

Residential

Commercial

Industrial

Institutional

To Be Determined

Tualatin Park and Recreation Facilities

Parks

Greenways

Natural Parks & Areas

Shared Use Paths

School Joint-Use Facilities

Other Park and Natural Areas

Other Developed Parks and Recreation Areas

Other Greenways and Natural Areas

Tigard-Tualatin District Schools

Base Map Features

Tualatin City Boundary

County Boundary

Freeways/Highways

Major Arterials

Local Streets

Railroads

Streams

Rivers and Waterbodies

M I G Date: October 2017

Sources: City of Tualatin, Parks and Recreation, 2017; Metro Regional Government, 2017; Oregon Spatial Data Library, State of Oregon, 2017.

Disclaimer: This map is derived from various digital database sources. While an attempt has been made to provide an accurate map, the City of Tualatin, OR assumes no responsibility or liability for any errors or omissions in the information. This map is provided "as is".



DRAFT



DRAFT RESEARCH: FUTURE EXPANSION AREAS


There are three areas within the City planned for future expansion: Northwest Tualatin Concept Plan, the Southwest Tualatin Concept Plan, and the Basalt Creek Concept Plan. Each are discussed below.

Northwest Tualatin Concept Plan

BACKGROUND


The Northwest Tualatin Concept Plan Area is comprised of 15 acres just outside the City in the far northwest corner. The site is bound by SW Pacific Highway or 99W on the north-west, SW Cipole Road on the east and wetlands to the south.

The Area Plan was brought into the Tualatin UGB in 2002, and the Title 11 Concept Plan was adopted in 2005. The area has not yet annexed to the City as annexations are initiated by property owners. The triangular site has a comprehensive plan designation for industrial uses, specifically for the General Manufacturing (MG) planning district. One of the 4 existing parcels within the site is not buildable due to a Federal Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) right-of-way for transmission lines/towers.



Vicinity Map

Access can only be taken from the east because ODOT will not permit access from 99W and there are wetlands to the south. If the site is built out by one owner a simple driveway can access the site from SW Cipole Road; however, if the site is built as multiple parcels an access road will need to be constructed. A new street would need to enter the site south of the current boundary line in order to line up with streets already planned in the City Transportation System Plan which will require an amendment to the UGB for street right-of-way south of the site. This will require Federal permits because of the wetlands.



Ice Age Trail Map

TRAIL AND OPEN SPACE/PARKS

The site is bordered by wetlands to the south in unincorporated Washington County, 99W to the north, and an industrial waste processing facility to the east (compost). The concept plan for the site does not call for any parks. There is an existing on-street Regional Corridor bike trail on 99W. The unbuilt Ice Age Tonquin Trail route is proposed on the west side of SW


Cipole Road, therefore, within the Plan Area. When developed, the trail will connect to Heritage Pine Natural Area, the Metro-owned property, to the north and eventually to the Westside Trail via a bridge across the Tualatin River.

Southwest Tualatin Concept Plan

BACKGROUND

The Southwest Tualatin Concept Plan (SWCP) is comprised of 614 acres just outside the southwest corner of the City. The site is located south of SW Tualatin-Sherwood Road and generally east of SW 124th Avenues.

The Area Plan was brought into the Tualatin UGB in 2002 & 2004, and the Title 11 Concept Plan was adopted in 2011. The area was never annexed to the City. Only 448 acres are buildable within the plan area due to natural features and easements. Significant easements and rights of way for utilities cross the property, parking and/or structures are not permitted in these areas. Trails are permitted in most circumstances.



Vicinity Map

It should be noted the limits of this plan area could be impacted by the results of the Basalt Creek Planning Area project. While the Southwest Plan included property south of SW Tonquin Road, this area may become part of the City of Wilsonville and changed by the Basalt Creek Plan. That planning process is not yet completed.


The site has a comprehensive planning designation for industrial uses, specifically for the Manufacturing Business Park (BP) planning district. Unlike the Northwest Plan area, this area is intended to feature some supporting retail uses. Additional landscaping (20%) is also required to assist in the adjacent residential area and to foster a campus like setting. Access will be from an extension of SW 124th Ave., which is under construction, SW Tonquin Road and SW 115th Ave. Metro conditions of approval require at least one 100-acre parcel and one 50-acre parcel for large industrial. The plan does not call for or permit any residential uses.

TRAIL AND OPEN SPACE/PARKS


The Plan shows many on-street pedestrian routes and trails. On street sidewalks are planned for all major streets such as SW 124th Ave., SW 115th Ave., SW Tonquin Ave. and a major east-west street that has yet to be named. Trails are shown within the PGE easement, the BPA easement, and a greenspace area following an existing rail road right-of-way along the eastern edge of the plan. Additionally, near SW Blake Drive, the plan includes a pedestrian/bike only over or undercrossing of the Portland and Western Railroad right-of-way which is owned by ODOT.

Shortly after the approval of the SWCP, Metro adopted the Ice Age Tonquin Trail Master Plan (2013). This plan includes trails within the SWCP as well. The Ice Age Tonquin Trail (IATT) includes all of the trails shown in the SWCP along the eastern edge, and adds additional trail designations to assure future connections regionally. Maps of both are included in this summary.

The plan does not call for any parks, as parks are not permitted in Regionally Significant Industrial Area designation areas. However, the wetlands and surrounding habitat will be protected as required by state regulation. The area runs along the west boundary of the Koller Wetland Park, and these wetlands extend into the plan area, creating an opportunity for greater protection.



Ice Age Tonquin Trail



Street and Trails Map from the SW Concept Plan

Basalt Creek Concept Plan Area


BACKGROUND

The Basalt Creek Concept Plan Area is located south of Tualatin. The Plan Area is approximately 848 acres. The plan is currently split between the City of Tualatin and the City of Wilsonville.

Part of the Plan Area was brought into the Tualatin UGB in 2004. A Title 11 Concept Plan has not yet been adopted for the area, though several supporting studies have been completed. The process has been delayed partially because the two Cities involved currently are resolving the land use plan for a 64-acre section of the Plan. At the time this was written, the two Cities were entering arbitration. The last version of the map that was presented to the public and the two cities was the Fall of 2016 version (see next page) which showed 93 acres of Manufacturing Park, 3 acres of Neighborhood Commercial, and 88 acres of Residential.


Tualatin's portion of the plan is anticipated to include 184 developable acres, 575 households and 1,929 jobs.¹ Parks were not included on the plan as a land use or zoning designation. In Tualatin proposed land uses include Low Density Residential, Medium-Low Density Residential, High Density Residential, Manufacturing Park and Neighborhood Commercial.

The graphic on the next page shows the Fall 2016 version of the plan. Most of the land uses shown on this version of the plan are likely to remain the same. The area that is being debated in arbitration is currently labeled as Manufacturing. The Tualatin City Council would prefer to see this 63-acre area be residential. Thus, there may be an increase in residential on Tualatin's side of the plan depending on the results of the arbitration.



Vicinity Map

¹ Based on the Fall 2016 version of the plan as shown in a presentation to the Tualatin City Council on February 13, 2017



Basalt Creek Land Use Concept Map Fall 2016 draft

TRAIL AND OPEN SPACE/PARKS

Park space will be needed to serve the proposed residential population as well as employees in the area. Since the plan is not finalized, land needs can only be estimated. If 575 households are added, and each has an average of 2.55 residents (based on Census data regarding average household size in Tualatin), then 1,466 residents may need parkland. If the City's current level of service for developed parks is carried forward into the future, then an additional 4.66 acres of parkland would be needed. This acreage does not account for existing deficiencies in the vicinity, and does not address land needs based on the types of recreation opportunities desired. It also does not account for additional needs for greenways, natural areas and shared use paths. Taken together, an estimated 17.64 acres would be needed for park and open space of all types.

Regarding the trail needs, while a concept plan has not been created, a Basalt Creek Transportation Refinement Plan was drafted in 2013. The refinement plan shows proposed new trails within the Tualatin portion of the Basalt Creek Area. This refinement plan was drafted at the same time as the Ice Age Tonquin Trail Plan, with the collaboration of Metro, thereby ensuring considerations were made for the trail. The trails proposed by the Refinement Plan follow a similar path as the Metro plan. However, the refinement plan takes into account potential roadway alignments, thereby creating minor differences between the two guidance documents. Specifically, the Refinement Plan shows trails along SW Tonquin Road and along a proposed new street that is an extension of SW 124th street called the SW Basalt Creek Parkway. The Refinement Plan does not show the Ice Age Tonquin Trail continuing alongside the railroad south of SW Basalt Creek Parkway. Instead they show that trail following SW Tonquin Road going west and then turning south at SW Morgan Road. The map from the refinement plan is shown below. Again, these plans could very well change as the process continues through the concept plan phase.





Table 3: Existing Parkland Level of Service (LOS) and Needs by Classification

Parkland Types	Existing Park Standard (acres/1,000 residents) ¹	Existing Park Acres	Existing LOS (acres/1,000 residents)	Proposed Guideline ⁵	Current Population Need (in acres) 2016	Net Future Population Need (in acres) 2035
					26,840	29,950
Parks ²	5.00	83.75	3.12	3.1	(0.5)	9.1
Greenways	0.87	119.63	4.46	4.5	1.2	15.1
Natural Parks & Areas	3.48	107.07	3.99	4.0	0.3	12.7
School Joint-Use Facilities ³	-	-				
Shared Use Paths ⁴	-	5.69	0.21	0.2	(0.3)	0.3
Total	9.35	316.14	11.8	11.8	0.6	37.3

Notes:

- Existing standards are based on the park standard noted in Table 3-1 of the 1991 Park System Development Charges Findings
- Existing park standards for parks were divided into two categories (area parks and community parks), which are combined here.
- School joint-use sites are not counted in terms of park land acreage.
- Standards for bikeways were set in 1991 based on miles of trails, not acreage.
- The proposed guidelines noted here would ensure that the existing level of service is carried forward into the future. These guidelines do not address existing deficiencies and will be modified based on PAC and staff feedback.



Map 3: Park Distribution and Access

Planning Areas

- Southwest Plan Area
- Northwest Plan Area
- Basalt Creek Plan Area

Tualatin Park and Recreation Facilities

- Parks
- Greenways
- Natural Parks & Areas
- Shared Use Paths

Other Park and Natural Areas

- Other Developed Parks and Recreation Areas
- Other Greenways and Natural Areas


Base Map Features

- Tualatin City Boundary
- County Boundary
- Freeways/Highways
- Major Arterials
- Local Streets
- Railroads
- Streams
- Rivers and Waterbodies

Trails

- Shared Use Paths

1/4 Mile & 1/2 Mile Park Access



Parks & Recreation Master Plan

City of Tualatin

Map 4: Existing, Planned, and Proposed Paths and Trails

Tualatin Trails

Existing Paths and Trails

Planned Paths and Trails

Regional Trails

Existing Multi-Use Trails

Planned Multi-Use Trails

Proposed Multi-Use Trails

Tualatin Park and Recreation Facilities

Parks

Greenways

Natural Parks & Areas

Shared Use Paths

School Joint-Use Facilities

Planning Areas

Southwest Plan Area

Northwest Plan Area

Basalt Creek Plan Area

Base Map Features

Tualatin City Boundary

County Boundary

Freeways/Highways

Major Arterials

Local Streets

Railroads

Streams

Rivers and Waterbodies

MIG

Date: October 2017

Other

Existing Tualatin River Water Trail

Potential Trail Opportunity

Other Park and Natural Areas

Other Developed Parks and Recreation Areas

Other Greenways and Natural Areas

Tigard-Tualatin District Schools

Planning Districts

Residential

Commercial

Industrial

Institutional

To Be Determined

Sources: City of Tualatin, Parks and Recreation, 2017; Metro Regional Government, 2017; Oregon Spatial Data Library, State of Oregon, 2017.

Disclaimer: This map is derived from various digital database sources. While an attempt has been made to provide an accurate map, the City of Tualatin, OR assumes no responsibility or liability for any errors or omissions in the information. This map is provided "as is".


DRAFT

Table 4: Existing Facilities Level of Service and Needs by Category

Facility	Existing Standards ¹	# of City Facilities	Existing LOS	Proposed Guidelines ⁴	Need	
					Current Population (2016)	Net Future Population (2035)
					26,840	29,950
Baseball diamonds	1/6,000	0				
Softball diamonds	1/3,000	5	1/ 5,368	1/ 5,300	0	1
Rectangular fields		7	1/ 3,834	1/ 3,800	0	1
Basketball Courts (outdoors)	1/500	6	1/ 4,473	1/ 4,400	0	1
Tennis Courts	1/2,000	8	1/ 3,355	1/ 3,300	0	1
Pickleball Courts		14	1/ 1,917	1/ 1,900	0	2
Neighborhood/community centers ²	1/15,000	4	1/ 6,710	*		
Hiking Trails (in miles)	1/2,000	-		*		
Bicycle Trails (in miles)	1/2,000	-		*		
Multi-Use Trails (in miles) ³	1/2,000	9.00	1/ 2,982	1/ 2,900	0.26	1.33
Totals		-				

Notes:

- Standards are expressed as 1 facility (or mile of trail) per numbers of residents served. Existing standards are taken from Figure 2.6, Standards for Special Facilities, from the 1983 Parks and Recreation Master Plan
 - This is an average of an existing standard of 1 per 10,000 for neighborhood centers and 1 per 20,000 for community centers.
 - According to the City's inventory, Tualatin has 47,494 linear feet or 8.995 miles of trails. All trails are counted as multi-use in this analysis.
 - The proposed guidelines noted here would ensure that the existing level of service is carried forward into the future. These guidelines do not address existing deficiencies and will be modified based on PAC and staff feedback.
- *No guideline is proposed.



Map 5:

Indoor Facility Distribution

- Indoor Facilities

 -  Residential conversion to public building (with program space)
 -  Residential conversion to public building (without program space)
 -  Public building (with program space)
 -  Public building (without program space)
 -  School (with program space)
 -  School (without program space)


- Tualatin Park and Recreation Facilities

 -  Parks
 -  Greenways
 -  Natural Parks & Areas
 -  Shared Use Paths


- Other Park and Natural Areas

 -  Other Developed Parks and Recreation Areas
 -  Other Greenways and Natural Areas
 -  Tigard-Tualatin District Schools

- Base Map Features

 -  Tualatin City Boundary
 -  County Boundary
 -  Freeways/Highways
 -  Major Arterials
 -  Local Streets
 -  Railroads
 -  Streams
 -  Rivers and Waterbodies
- Trails

 -  Shared Use Paths



Parks & Recreation Master Plan

Map 6: Sports Field Distribution

- Sports Field Facilities**
- Rectangular sports fields
 - Diamond sports fields
 - School district sports fields (rectangular/diamond)

- Tualatin Park and Recreation Facilities**
- Parks
 - Greenways
 - Natural Parks & Areas
 - Shared Use Paths
 - School Joint-Use Facilities

- Other Park and Natural Areas**
- Other Developed Parks and Recreation Areas
 - Other Greenways and Natural Areas
 - Tigard-Tualatin District Schools


- Base Map Features**
- Tualatin City Boundary
 - County Boundary
 - Freeways/Highways
 - Major Arterials
 - Local Streets
 - Railroads
 - Streams
 - Rivers and Waterbodies
- Trails**
- Shared Use Paths

M I G Date: October 2017

Sources: City of Tualatin, Parks and Recreation, 2017; Metro Regional Government, 2017; Oregon Spatial Data Library, State of Oregon, 2017.

Disclaimer: This map is derived from various digital database sources. While an attempt has been made to provide an accurate map, the City of Tualatin, OR assumes no responsibility or liability for any errors or omissions in the information. This map is provided "as is".





DRAFT



Parks & Recreation Master Plan

Map 7: Riverfront Facilities and Access

Riverfront Facilities

- River access point (boat ramp, canoe launch, swim platform)
- Waterfront gathering space
- River/water/wetland viewpoint or overlook

Tualatin Park and Recreation Facilities

- Parks
- Greenways
- Natural Parks & Areas
- Shared Use Paths

Other Park and Natural Areas

- Other Developed Parks and Recreation Areas
- Other Greenways and Natural Areas

Base Map Features

- Tualatin City Boundary
- County Boundary
- Freeways/Highways
- Major Arterials
- Local Streets
- Railroads
- Streams
- Rivers and Waterbodies

Trails

- Shared Use Paths

 Date: October 2017

Sources: City of Tualatin, Parks and Recreation, 2017; Metro Regional Government, 2017; Oregon Spatial Data Library, State of Oregon, 2017.

Disclaimer: This map is derived from various digital database sources. While an attempt has been made to provide an accurate map, the City of Tualatin, OR assumes no responsibility or liability for any errors or omissions in the information. This map is provided "as is".




Table 5: City of Tualatin Recreation Programs and Events by Program Service Area

[illegible]

• Lots ◐ Some ○ Few - None



PARKS & RECREATION MASTER PLAN

DRAFT RESEARCH: RECREATION PROGRAMMING AND ARTS PARTICIPATION

Recreation Programming

The City provides 11 different types of programming in three sections: community recreation, Juanita Pohl Center, and Library. Descriptions of program areas and types of programs in each area are noted in Table 5. Community recreation participation numbers are based on data entered into Community Pass. In the tables that follow, number of programs and estimated participation is for each section.

Table 6: Estimated Participation in Community Recreation, FY 2016-2017

Program Area	Number of Programs Offered	Estimated Participants
Arts & Culture	1	2000
Before & After School Youth Programs	0	0
Day Camps	53	615
Development & Leadership	90	701
Enrichment & Learning	8	358
Health, Wellness & Fitness	0	0
Nature-Based Programs (Parks)	8	80
Social Activities	9	170
Special Events	21	18,600
Sports	0	0
Volunteerism		
Recreation	2	130
Parks	37	1,032

Totals	229	23,686
Shelter Rentals	246	12,925
Sports Field Rentals	552	1,700

Table 7: Estimated Participation in Library Programs, FY 2016-17

Program Area	Number of Programs Offered	Estimated Participants
Arts & Culture	40	1,386
Before & After School Youth Programs	208	1,525
Day Camps	0	0
Development & Leadership	26	165
Enrichment & Learning	531	11,013
Health, Wellness & Fitness	19	279
Nature-Based Programs	4	888
Social Activities	197	2,865
Special Events	13	2,069
Sports	0	0
Volunteerism	44	634
Totals	1,082	20,806
Rentals	1,811	

Table 8: Estimated Participation in Juanita Pohl Center Programs, FY 2016-17

Program Area	Number of Programs Offered	Estimated Participants
Arts & Culture	3	490
Before & After School Youth Programs	0	0
Day Camps	0	0
Development & Leadership	0	0
Enrichment & Learning	52	371
Health, Wellness & Fitness	1045	8325
Nature-Based Programs	0	0

Social Activities	792	5006
Special Events	19	601
Sports	242	2551
Volunteerism	8	782
Totals	2,161	18,126
Rentals	300	20,510

Arts

The City owns more than 300 pieces of art that are displayed in parks, Community Services Department facilities, on trails, and in other City buildings and facilities. Most of the collection is composed of wall hangings in various mediums, located at indoor sites. Additionally, there are sculptures, signs, play features, and infrastructure elements, primarily located outdoors. Public art as a program area is divided into two categories: display arts, and arts programming and events.

DISPLAY ARTS

Display arts include permanent installations and rotating displays in City buildings. Currently, display arts are categorized into three types.

- **Tualatin Visual Chronicle:** Includes three collections of non-educational prints, drawings, paintings, photographs, and other wall hangings that can be displayed in different locations to document the social, built, and/or natural landscape of Tualatin, capturing elements of the past and present, thereby providing an archival record and resource for the future.
 - **General Collection:** pieces from artists in the Pacific Northwest depicting how the City has evolved and changed through the years.
 - **Student Collection:** pieces from local high school students depicting the artists' perceptions of a unique aspect of life in Tualatin.
 - **Historical Collection:** pieces from a variety of sources depicting an aspect of the city's history.
- **Other On-Site Art:** Includes non-educational sculptures, wall hangings, drinking fountains, and etched granite in trails that enhance a specific site or building, may or may not have Tualatin-specific components. Examples include The Storyteller (bronze sculpture, Library Plaza); Crawfish (mosaic tile infrastructure/play feature/fountain, Tualatin Commons).
- **Educational Art Displays:** Includes educational or interpretive displays, signs, and play features centered on Tualatin's natural and cultural history, including elements of the Ice Age Discovery Trail. Examples include Birds (baked porcelain sign, Atfalati Park); Mastodon Teeth (bronze cast sculpture, Tualatin River Greenway).

Table 9: City of Tualatin Display Arts by Category and Location, 2017

Categories		Total Pieces	Art Walk ¹	Ice Age Discovery Trail	Community Services Facilities ²	Library ²	Public Buildings ³	Other/ Multi Site	Parks/ Greenways
Tualatin Visual Chronicle	General Collection	78	*	0			78	0	0
	Student Collection	39	*	0			39	0	0
	Historical Collection	116	*	0			116	0	0
Other On-Site Art		49	22 ⁴	0	7	11	0	4	27
Educational Art Displays		68	35 ⁵	31 ⁵	4	1	0	2	61
Totals		353	59	31	11	13	233	7	89

1. Pieces from the Tualatin Visual Chronicle are incorporated into the ArtWalk (not counted).

2. Visual Chronicle artwork in Community Services Facilities and Library are included in Public Building totals.

3. Pieces of the Tualatin Visual Chronicle in public buildings are rotated as capacity allows.

4. This count overlaps data in the location columns.

5. Some art displays are part of both.

ARTS PROGRAMMING AND EVENTS

The adopted 1995 On-Site Public Arts Program Policy defines four of these areas: cultural programming, educational arts, literary arts and media arts. A fifth category was created to include the displayed art components to accurately represent the public arts program.

The City categorizes art programming into five types:

- Cultural Programming: includes performing arts such as dance, music, drama and including events like ArtSplash, Movies on the Commons and Concerts on the Commons.
- Educational Arts: includes lectures, public presentations, "Artists in Residence" type programs, school presentations.
- Fine Arts: painting or drawing classes, graphic arts
- Literary Arts: includes storytelling, poetry, reader's theater.
- Media Arts: includes film, video.

Table 10: Estimated Participation in Arts Programming, FY 2016-17

Program Area	Number of Programs Offered	Estimated Participants
Fine Arts	8	52
Cultural Programming	42	13,174

Educational Arts	6	701
Literary Arts	31	720
Media Arts	38	753
Total Usage	125	15,400

Note: This table combines arts programming from all three Community Services sections. These data overlap with data in Tables 6-8.

Table 11: Tualatin Local Resources Review

Providers	Recreation Programs and Events														Facilities Available										Participants														
	Categories														Indoor				Outdoor						Pool		Populations Served												
	Sports	Nature-Based Programming	Special Events	Arts/Culture	Volunteerism	Before/After School Youth Programs	Day Camps	Development/Leadership	Enrichment/Learning	Health/Wellness/Fitness	Inclusive Programming	Social Activities	Aquatics	Gym	Play Area	Class/Multi-purpose Room	Specialized Indoor Space	Other	Sports Fields	Sports Courts	Programmable Open Space/Turf	Large Group Venue	Trails	Natural Area	Specialized Outdoor Facility	Other	Indoor	Outdoor	Preschool (5 and under)	Youth (ages 6-11)	Teens (ages 12-18)	Adults (ages 19-54)	Older Adults/Seniors (ages 55+)	People with Disabilities	People from Diverse Cultures	Families/Multi-generational			
Provider																																							Notes
Schools																																							
Creative Minds Learning Centers Tualatin		✓		✓					✓	✓		✓			✓	✓	✓	✓						✓	✓	✓			✓								Community room, kitchen, laundry, classrooms, Western town play replica		
Growing With Pride Preschool				✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓					✓	✓												✓	✓				✓			Some programs offered for kids up to age 12		
Horizon Christian Learning Center*	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓		✓		✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓								✓	✓	✓				✓		Private school with full array of recreation and arts facilities		
Kinder Care Learning Center				✓		✓	✓		✓	✓						✓	✓												✓	✓							Some programs offered for kids up to age 12		
Whole Babies Preschool & Childcare LLC				✓					✓	✓					✓	✓	✓									✓			✓								Outside grass/play area/patio		
Tigard-Tualatin School District*	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓		✓	✓				✓	✓			✓	✓		Cafeterias, libraries, auditoriums, classrooms, lecture halls, commons, playgrounds, covered play areas, music rooms, kitchens, sports fields/courts etc.		
Public Agencies/Districts (non-school)																																							
Tigard Tualatin Aquatic District	✓		✓					✓	✓	✓		✓	✓			✓											✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			✓	One pool in each city, offering lessons, programs, etc.; also used for TTSD athletics (pools located at high schools); Tualatin is 8-lane, 25-yards		
Private Clubs																																							
Stafford Hill Club	✓		✓				✓		✓	✓		✓	✓	✓			✓	✓		✓						✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	Private club: indoor/outdoor tennis, fitness facility, spa, café, physical therapy, nutrition, etc.		
Tualatin Country Club	✓									✓		✓	✓				✓								✓			✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓				Golf course, ballroom, boardroom		
Special Interest Organizations/Businesses																																							
Alder Creek Kayak & Canoe		✓	✓				✓		✓	✓		✓	✓			✓								✓		✓					✓	✓	✓			✓	Youth 10+; training pool on-site; lessons have indoor component		
Tualatin Riverkeepers (rentals at Cook Park, Tigard)		✓	✓		✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓					✓							✓	✓			✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	Focus: kids 4-13; outdoor boat rental facility; indoor portable classroom		
Tualatin Dance Center				✓					✓	✓							✓												✓	✓	✓						Dance studio		
June Taylor School of Dance			✓	✓					✓	✓		✓					✓												✓	✓	✓	✓	✓				Dance studio		
Core Dance				✓					✓	✓							✓												✓	✓	✓						Dance studio		
Tilton's Gymnastics	✓									✓		✓					✓												✓	✓	✓						Gymanstics/tumling facility; possibly adult programs		
U.S. World Class Taekwondo - Tualatin			✓						✓	✓							✓												✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			✓	Sparring/training studio		
Crossfit BYB										✓							✓															✓	✓				Primarily weights-type facility		
LA Fitness	✓										✓		✓	✓			✓									✓				✓	✓	✓	✓				Pool, sauna, spa, basketball, racquetball, plus regular gym amenities		
Orangetheory Fitness										✓							✓																✓	✓			Specialized fitness facility		
Northwest Core Balance										✓							✓																✓	✓			Specialized pilates facility		
Revolution Parkour Tualatin			✓				✓			✓		✓					✓	✓											✓	✓	✓	✓	✓				Parkour course, training area		
Tualatin Indoor Soccer	✓		✓							✓							✓	✓											✓	✓	✓	✓					Indoor fields, bleachers, pub		
Upper90 Skills (soccer)	✓		✓							✓							✓	✓												✓	✓	✓					Indoor fields, video lab		
Impact Action Sports (paint ball)			✓							✓		✓													✓					✓	✓	✓	✓			✓	Paintball field/site		

*Programs are non-curricular activities, i.e. clubs, sports, etc. outside of regular school hours